

**RESPONDENT:** 2938950945 – Gerry Norvill  
**SECTOR:** Unknown - Individual

**Q1 - What are your views of the different census approaches described in the consultation document?**

A census once a decade, like that conducted in 2011, primarily online suited most people I know but some did feel it was a bit Big Brotherish

**Q2 - Please specify any significant uses of population and housing statistics that we have not already identified.**

**Q3 - Please specify any significant additional benefits of population and housing statistics that we have not already identified.**

**Q4 - What would the impact be if the most detailed statistics for very small geographic areas and small population groups were no longer available? High, medium, low or no impact?**

High

**If medium or high, please give further information.**

For Family History purpose it would make it impossible to achieve results

**Q5 - What would the additional benefit be if more frequent (i.e. annual) statistics about population characteristics were available for areas like local authorities and electoral wards? High, medium, low or no additional benefit?**

High

**If medium or high please give further information.**

It would enable Government and councils to keep closer tabs on immigration and population changes

**Q6 - Please specify any significant uses of census information for historical research that we have not already identified.**

Wish that Census results for early 1900's were released earlier

**Q7 - What advantages or disadvantages for genealogical or historical research can you see from a move to a solution based on archiving administrative data sources?**

**Q8 - What are your views of the risks of each census approach and how they might be managed?**

Wish that Census results for early 1900's were released earlier

**Q9 - Are there any other issues that you believe we should be taking into account?**