

RESPONDENT: 2938838848 – Louise Race
SECTOR: Unknown - Individual

Q1 - What are your views of the different census approaches described in the consultation document?

I think that the first approach would be better because It provides more detailed records for future use for family historians.

Q2 - Please specify any significant uses of population and housing statistics that we have not already identified.

The census is priceless when it comes to family history research and my hope is that it will be even more useful in the future when it is done online because handwriting will not be difficult to read.

Q3 - Please specify any significant additional benefits of population and housing statistics that we have not already identified.

Q4 - What would the impact be if the most detailed statistics for very small geographic areas and small population groups were no longer available? High, medium, low or no impact?

No Impact

If medium or high, please give further information.

Q5 - What would the additional benefit be if more frequent (i.e. annual) statistics about population characteristics were available for areas like local authorities and electoral wards? High, medium, low or no additional benefit?

No Additional Benefit

If medium or high please give further information.

Q6 - Please specify any significant uses of census information for historical research that we have not already identified.

Q7 - What advantages or disadvantages for genealogical or historical research can you see from a move to a solution based on archiving administrative data sources?

None. I think you need the full census every ten years. Administrative data is useful as well but not on its own.

Q8 - What are your views of the risks of each census approach and how they might be managed?

The first approach has to be compulsory but it would be a lot less prone to errors than the second approach.

Q9 - Are there any other issues that you believe we should be taking into account?

