



The 2011 Census

Supplier Information Meeting 14th July 2005



Welcome

Ian Cope

Supplier Open Meeting

- Background to Census
- UK harmonisation
- The procurement strategy
- Inform the market place
- Give you the opportunity to meet other suppliers
 - List of attendees available

Introductions

- **Representatives from**

- Office for National Statistics (ONS)

- on behalf of England and Wales

- General Register Office for Scotland (GROS)

- Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA)

Agenda

- Importance of the Census
- 2011 Census
- High Level Design
- NISRA
- GROS

Karen Dunnell
Ian Cope
Pete Benton
Robert Beatty
Peter Scrimgeour

- Break - 14:00 approx

- Procurement Strategy & process
- Activities, timetables & volumetrics

Catriona Flear
Claire Stretch,
Henrie Brown

- Questions
- Informal discussions & coffee – 16:00 to 17:00 approx

Questions & Information

- Question session and open discussion at the end
- During presentation – clarification questions accepted
- Information presented today represents our current thinking but may be subject to change



Importance of the Census

Karen Dunnell
Executive Board Member
Senior Responsible Officer

2011 Census

- Large and complex project planned over a long period
- Important to local and central government and the people
- In early stages so keen to inform the market place

Joint Working

- ONS responsible for England & Wales
- GROS responsible for Scotland
- NISRA responsible for N Ireland

- Harmonisation agreement signed by all 3 Registrar Generals

Commitment to the Project

- ONS required to prepare for the next Census
- Funding arrangements up to March 2008 are being finalised
- Investment bid to Treasury in 2006 Spending Review for the whole Census
- White Paper to Parliament July 2008

Supplier Information Meeting

- This event gives you an introduction to the Census and our requirements and how we envisage moving forward
- Thank you for giving your time
- We hope you enjoy the afternoon



2011 Census

Ian Cope
Census Director

Outline

- UK Harmonisation
- Drivers of 2011 Census design
- 2001 Census Key Lessons
- Changing Society
- Additional requirements
- Strategic Aims – Statistical
- Strategic Aims - Operational

UK Harmonisation

- Governance structure
 - UK Harmonisation Committee
 - UK Census Committee
- Organisations working together to produce consistent outputs

Drivers of 2011 Design

- Evaluations of 2001 Census
 - Treasury Select Committee
 - National Audit Office
 - Public Accounts Committee
 - ONS internal evaluation
 - User response to 2001 Census results
- Changes in context
 - society
 - available technology
 - addressing infrastructure

2001 Census - key lessons (1)

- Postback
 - successful, 88% postal response
 - localised delays in mail system caused problems
 - £10m overspend in unnecessary field follow-up?
- Differential non-response
 - 96% overall response rate
 - but below 70% in some areas
- Coverage assessment / adjustment
 - worked well generally, but problems in low-response areas
 - need to do even more to understand coverage

2001 Census - key lessons (2)

- Lack of control in field
 - no central questionnaire tracking system
 - management information system failed
 - didn't know how many questionnaires delivered or returned
 - pockets of poor enumeration undetected
- Significant recruitment and payroll problems
 - hard to recruit in inner city areas
 - 40% paid late
 - £500,000 overpaid
- Late start to development - no full Rehearsal

Changing society

- Increasingly mobile population
 - Local populations change by day of week; time of year; across years;
 - With complex geographical patterns
- More complex structures
 - Some reside in more than one address; household; family; geographic area; country
- Strong drive for small area data

Additional requirements

- Increased frequency
- More flexible counting base
 - usual residence
 - daytime/service population
 - others ...
- Further improve accuracy / confidence in estimates
 - build on the One Number Census

Strategic Aims - Statistical

- Maximise response, reduce differences in response rates
- Develop robust initiatives and procedures for hard-to-count areas/groups
- Enable outputs on a number of population bases
- Build more ways to assess coverage into the operation
- Speed up delivery of data, more time to QA

Strategic Aims - Operational

- Reduce recruitment, retention and pay problems of large field force
- Accurate, “real time” information on response
- Good management of publicity and public engagement
- Multiple response routes
- Develop effective partnership with Local Authorities
- Be cost effective



2011 Census High Level Design

Pete Benton

Outline

- Design overview
 - Delivery
 - Collection
 - Follow-up
 - Control Systems
 - Public Interface
 - Channel Management
 - Data Capture operations
 - Follow-up Surveys
 - Outputs
- Summary of major changes from 2001 Design
- Development Timetable

Delivery

- Flexible Approach - mix of delivery strategies
 - targeted through analysis of hard-to-count groups and areas
- Post-Out in 'easy' areas
 - using pre-addressed census questionnaires with unique id
 - development of address register is a key activity
- Traditional delivery in 'hard' areas
 - smaller field force, team based?
- Possibly face to face interview in hardest areas

Response

- Post-Back
 - questionnaires mailed directly to central processing centre
 - response management system provides rapid updates to field managers
 - enables early start to processing as soon as questionnaires checked in
- Internet capture
- Telephone capture for certain population groups
- Face to face interview

Non-response follow-up

- Possible postal follow up
- Field follow-up
 - local field force
 - acting on central intelligence from response management system
 - using technology for two-way communication
- Publicity message: moves up a gear to reinforce legal requirement to complete a questionnaire
- Non-compliance proceedings

Partial response follow-up

- Field follow up for completely blank questionnaires
- Telephone follow-up for partially completed questionnaires
- Challenges for rapid identification during paper data capture
- Extent of follow-up yet to be decided
 - Likely to be sample-based
 - Possible geographical prioritisation of questionnaires for scanning

Control Systems

- Every questionnaire uniquely identified
- Progress tracked at key stages
 - from printing to destruction
 - near real time
- Enables multiple response routes
- Provides intelligence to field force
- Enables central Post-Back and earlier processing
- Provides central operational management info
- Underpinned by accurate address register, with tight control of additions and robust reconciliation
- Requires field communication technology

Public interface

- **Publicity**
 - increased to address lack of face-to-face contact
 - targeted at specific areas / population groups
 - better timed, responsive to events
- **Even stronger 'community liaison' programme**
- **Contact Centre**
 - issue additional questionnaires, update address register and response management system
 - provide guidance, respond to queries
 - enables telephone capture
- **Web Self Help facility**

Data capture operations

- Paper data capture modelled on 2001 approach
- Additional elements
 - Internet and telephone response routes
 - Integration of data from multiple response routes
 - Fast questionnaire receipting to enable non-response follow-up
 - Identification of blank / partial responses
- Other changes
 - ‘Continuous’ flow of data to ONS, not batched
 - Earlier start and finish to paper data capture
 - Improved integration at Processing/Field interface

Channel Management

- Not clear what the internet response rate will be
- Assumptions will affect sizing of web and paper capture systems
- Need to have an explicit channel management strategy, implemented through publicity campaign
 - based on clear understanding of the costs and benefits of different levels of response through different routes
 - will evolve over time as societal context develops
- Need to carefully research assumptions, and be prepared for alternative scenarios
- ONS will decide the publicity messages
 - working closely with suppliers of data capture services

Follow-up surveys

- Coverage survey
 - re-enumeration of 1% of the population
- Quality survey
 - much smaller sample
- Likely to use many of the census systems, with modifications
 - will be included in the main census contracts
- Extent to be determined

Census Design - Outputs

- Similar timetable to 2001 for first release
 - Sept 2012
- Earlier start and finish to data capture gives more time for Quality Assurance

Summary of Major Changes to 2001 Methodology

- Multiple delivery strategies, including post-out, targeted through geo-demographic analysis
- Multiple response routes, including internet
- Central Postback
- Robust response management systems
 - individual questionnaire tracking
 - fast receipting
 - field communication technology
- Faster and more complex data capture operations
- Response integration
- Increased PR with better targeting

Timetable

- 2007 Field Test
 - Response rates
 - Income question
 - Post out
 - Operational capability
 - Post out
 - Dynamic field force/response management
- 2008 Integration Test
 - Evaluating systems & interfaces
- 2009 Rehearsal
 - Full test of systems & operations
- 2011 Census
 - You have to get it right first time

a book

Robert Beatty
Demography and Census

Legislation

- Devolved function
- Separate legal authority – Census Act (Northern Ireland) 1969
- Final approval by local Assembly

2011 Volumetrics

- NI expected population around 1.74m
- Approximately 700,000 households
- 3% of total UK population

Northern Ireland Differences

- At least some different questions
- Operational processes/design e.g.
 - Enumeration strategy (possibly hand delivery with local postback)

UK Harmonisation

- Consistent UK outputs
- Similar timetable
- Work together to meet the needs of UK data users



General Register Office
for
SCOTLAND
Information about Scotland's people

Peter Scrimgeour
Census

Legislation

- Devolved function
- Separate legal authority
- Responsibility of General Register Office Scotland
- Brought before Scottish Parliament for approval

2011 Volumetrics

- Scottish expected population around 5m
- Approximately 2m+ households
- 8% of total UK population

Scottish Differences

- At least some different questions
- Operational processes/design e.g.
 - Enumeration strategy follows more traditional approach of hand delivery / post out and local post back to the field staff (similar to NI)
 - Differences in the processing and timing of form return
 - Central operational intelligence requirements are less

UK Harmonisation

- Differing timetable – Scotland is running its own test in 2006 and procurement for this is in progress
- Currently 2008 Rehearsal
- Work together to meet the needs of UK data users
- Consistent UK outputs



COFFEE BREAK



Procurement Strategy

Catriona Flear

Background for procurement

- Involvement of other UK Census Offices
- Activities for contracting out
- Procurement strategy

UK Census Offices

- ONS, GROS & NISRA – aim for consistent UK outputs
- Recognise the differences and similarities between the different organisations and designs
- Joint working to achieve economies of scale, solutions that suit us all and consistency of quality of data

Joint Contracts

- Currently GROS & NISRA expect to be involved in all contracts except for Recruitment of field staff
- Working closely with both on developing requirements
 - Joint requirements – common to all
 - Specific – required for that country
- Final decision on involvement in contract will be made at the appropriate time

Sourcing Strategy

- Reviewed all activities within the design
- Recognised some are not our core competences
- Clear about what ONS must continue to be responsible for
- Identified interfaces between the activities
- Result:
 - A significant increase in what we are contracting out
 - Proposed minimum grouping of activities into contracts
 - Service contracts

Activities for contracting out

- Operational Intelligence & document tracking
- Data capture operations & web capture
- Printing (census questionnaires)
- Contact Centre (including web self help)
- Recruitment
- Payroll
- Training
- Printing (other matter)
- Logistics & warehousing
- Field supplies
- Translation Services
- Publicity
- Postal Services

Issues

- Time available within the timetable for 2011 Census
 - doesn't lend itself to the traditional procurement method of producing detailed specifications of requirements

Key reasons

- Key decisions later in the project
 - Preventing full specifications being developed early
- Some strategies still to be tested
 - Impact on detailed design and specifications
- Timing of Test and Rehearsal
 - Lack of time after evaluation of 2007 field test to tender and select final supplier

Fitting the design & project plan

- **Between now and Spring 2007**
 - procure and award contracts to support the 2007 Test
- **Spring 2007 to Spring 2009**
 - build final systems, integration testing and prepare for Rehearsal. Procure and award contracts for Rehearsal and Census
- **Spring 2009 to Spring 2011**
 - scale up, full operations, system testing through 2010
- **Spring 2011 to end of contracts**
 - completion of all work

Procurement Strategy

- We need a strategy that allows:
 - the final suppliers to work with us early in some activities to understand the complexities of the whole project
- Significant risk of change and escalating costs if detailed specifications were used now
- Outputs based
 - Specified where appropriate

Phased approach

- Build some systems and Test in 2007
 - Evaluation and agree final design
- Build all systems and integration testing in 2008
- Rehearsal in 2009
 - Evaluation and agree capacity
- Scale up for 2011 Census

Grouping of activities

- Reviewed
 - which activities have strong interfaces
 - which activities we want the final supplier in place for the 2007 Field Test
 - what ad hoc services we need for 2007
 - where we need the final supplier(s) in place for the 2009 Rehearsal onwards
 - where we should use existing government arrangements
- Proposed grouping of activities into routes

Procurement Routes

- Route A
 - Contract through Test, Rehearsal & Census
- Route B
 - Contracts for Test in 2007 only
- Route C
 - Contracts for Rehearsal and Census

Activities grouped into Route A

- Operational Intelligence & document tracking
- Data capture operations & web capture
- Printing (census questionnaires)
- Contact Centre (including web self help)
- Contract through to 2011
- Outputs based requirements
- Negotiated route
- 2 suppliers selected for 2007 test
- 1 supplier then awarded contract for ongoing work

Route A Contract

- Phased approach to selecting the supplier
- OJEU, PQQ - bidders list
- High level requirements – long list
- Specification of requirements – short list
- Select 2 suppliers
- Evaluation after 2007 Test – award contract

After award of contract

- Phased approach to delivery:
 - Agree stages and deliverables including testing plan
 - Understand capacity in each channel and decision points
- Build systems for Rehearsal in 2009
 - Evaluation after Rehearsal
 - Final adjustments to channels
 - Scaling up for Census

Activities for Route B

- Recruitment
- Payroll
- Training
- Printing (other matter)
- Logistics & warehousing
- Field supplies
- Contract to support the 2007 Test only
- Specified requirements
- Framework agreements or purchase order direct with supplier

Activities for Route C

- Recruitment
- Payroll
- Training
- Printing (other matter)
- Logistics & warehousing
- Field supplies
- Postal Services
- Decision on requirements and grouping after 2007 Test
- OJEU
- Framework agreements

Other services required

- Publicity
- Translation Services
- COI
- Framework agreements

Challenges

- Finding suppliers who can and will work with us over a long period
- Phasing to firm up on requirements
- Using latest technology while minimising risk
- Making the design cost effective without losing quality
- Incentivise suppliers



Activities

Claire Stretch

Henrie Brown

2007 Field Test

- Focus on effectiveness of enumeration strategy
 - delivery and collection methods for different population groups
 - enumeration targeting
 - address register development and quality assurance
 - response management and field communications
 - contact centre and website functionality
- Recruitment, payment and elements of training of field staff outsourced
- All supporting systems included, but some partial
- Aim to culminate in final 2011 Census Design
- 100,000 - 200,000 households?

Recruitment - Employment Dates

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2011</u>
Regional Managers	-	-	04/09-07/11
Area Managers	08/06-07/07	08/08-07/09	08/09-07/11
Pre-delivery staff	09/06-10/06	09/08-10/08	08/10-09/10
Team Managers	11/06-07/07	11/08-07/09	09/10-07/11
Delivery staff	01/07-05/07	01/09-05/09	01/11-05/11
Follow-up staff	04/07-07/07	04/09-07/09	04/11-07/11
Communal Establishment staff	01/07-06/07	01/09-06/09	01/11-06/11
Non-compliance staff	-	-	04/11-12/11

Recruitment - Estimated Numbers

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2011</u>
Regional Managers	0	0	10
Area Managers	0-2	0-2	300
Pre-delivery staff	130	100	5,600
Team Managers	40	30	2,000
Delivery staff	450	200	25,400
Follow-up staff	200	150	11,000
Communal Establishment staff	12	10	1,500
Non-compliance staff	0	0	400

Recruitment - Key Issues

- Recruitment is becoming increasingly difficult, particularly in certain parts of the country, such as the 'inner cities'
- Attracting suitable staff willing to work unsociable hours - evenings and weekends
- Balancing the pay likely to be on offer against the need to recruit suitable quality staff
- Coping with an increasing level of staff turnover
 - in 2001 was in the range 5% - 8%

Payment - Key Issues

- Improving the performance levels achieved in 2001 when some payments were made very late
- Simplifying the payment system whilst introducing a results/performance based element to pay, with possible terminal bonuses
- Improve management and reporting arrangements to ensure ONS and National Audit Office requirements are being met
- Assess the feasibility to procure the payment aspects as part of a contract that includes recruitment

Training

- Training programme complete ahead of employment dates for each role
- Consistent delivery & message
- Encompassing
 - Field technology
 - Routine tasks
 - Dealing with exceptions
- Delivery flexible to deal with staff turnover
- Must allow for significant variation in basic ability
- Delivered locally

Public Interface - Publicity

- Responsive to current events
- National and local
- Targeted media & messages to key groups
- Aims:
 - Encourage participation
 - Provide general information
 - Provide specialist information
- Reinforce legal requirement
- Support channel strategy

Public Interface – Contact Centre

- Contact Centre provides direct interface to public:
 - Supported by Web Self Help Facility
 - Scalable to meet unexpected demand
 - Activities include:
 - General enquiries
 - Requests for new/additional forms
 - Interface with Address Register & RMS/MIS
 - Help with form completion
 - Technical support for Internet completion
 - Requests for field visits
 - Translation & interpretation services
 - Telephone capture – targeted to specific groups
 - Telephone follow-up of incomplete forms

Public Interface – Web Self Help Facility

- Web Self Help Facility (WSHF) provides primary interface to public:
 - Backbone of information provided to Contact Centre
 - Dynamic & responsive to events & changing situation
 - Scalable to meet unexpected demand
 - Linked to publicity messages
 - Support to Field staff
 - Email requests & queries supported
 - Extensive translation support

Address Register

NSAI (National Spatial Addressing Infrastructure):

- Delivered by Ordnance Survey in phases up to 2008
- supplies base Address information
- Around 24 million addresses
- ONS add Census related attributes – 2008 onwards

Updates as result of pre-delivery check

- Sept 2008/Sept-Oct 2010

Interfaces

- Printing - Jan-March 2009/ Dec 2010 – March 2011
 - address/form type/enclosure info/delivery type/delivery point sort code
- Basis of MIS/RMS - in use throughout Census period
- Contact Centre/WSHF for verification of address information
- Support to Coding & Output - April 2011 – Dec 2012

Pre Delivery

- Checks carried out
 - Sept-Oct 2008 for Rehearsal
 - Sept-Oct 2010 for 2011 Census
- Either all addresses, or targeting areas of change
 - Dependent on confidence in Address register & independent and local information on areas of change
- Activities
 - Capture additional addresses
 - Verify existing addresses
 - Capture additional attributes

Printing (1)

Questionnaires

- depends on outcome of Channel Strategy work – max 30+ million(UK)

Several types of forms

- (household/communal/individual)

Currently to be printed English & Welsh – other variations still under discussion

Printing in several tranches starting after Pre Delivery

- Jan-March 2009/ Dec 2010 – March 2011

Personalise each form with address & link to unique formid

- forms basis of Response Management System

Information leaflets for delivery/ envelopes for return

Mail merge appropriate documents

Enclose

Sort for delivery

Need to consider post out & return requirements from Postal provider

Printing (2)

- All other documentation, including
 - Training material
 - Recruitment material
 - Pay documents
 - Translation leaflets
 - Publicity
 - General information leaflets

Translation

- All public documents (including training) must be in both English & Welsh
- Scotland & NI position?
- Translation of supporting information into other languages
 - In 2001 there were 26 languages supported
 - Languages required likely to change, and ideally more languages supported in 2011

Logistics & Warehousing (1)

- Storage & distribution for:
 - Replacement/ spare/ alternative Census questionnaires
 - Field documentation
 - Other documents & literature
 - Field supplies
- Stock control system
- National distribution requirement
 - England & Wales
 - Scotland & NI?

Logistics & Warehousing (2)

- Collection
 - Secure transport of completed questionnaires
 - Documentation & literature: unused & finished with
 - Field supplies (incl. any high value items)
- Disposal
- Interfaced with:
 - Operational intelligence system
 - Public help facilities
 - Back office fulfilment

Delivery

- April 2009 for Rehearsal
- April 2011 for 2011 Census
- Postal delivery
 - 18-22 million
 - Record undeliverable (vacant/demolished)
- Hand Delivery
 - 2-6 million
 - Find new addresses, capture, link to formid & deliver
 - Record delivery status (delivered/ vacant/demolished)

Response

Paper

- April-June 2009 for Rehearsal
- April-June 2011 for 2011 Census
- Postback direct to processing centre
- 5 million returns a day at the processing centre in 2001
- Ideally returns receipted within 24hrs of arrival, must be receipted before start of follow-up
- Receipt recorded on Response Management System

Internet & Telephone

- April-June 2009 for Rehearsal
- April-June 2011 for Census

Non-response Follow-up

- Impossible to accurately predict
 - Between 5% & 20%
 - Higher in some areas
- Field follow-up in April-June 2011
- Activities
 - Addresses to be followed-up provided by RMS
 - Interrogate RMS on doorstep – ideally real-time
 - Record result of follow-up
 - No contact/ replacement delivered/ refusal/ success
 - By date & time
 - Deliver replacement form
 - Collect completed form

Non-Compliance

- 400 – 500 Field Staff
 - Recruitment aimed at (but not exclusive to) ex-police and ex-military personnel
 - Operate in teams of 2
 - Required nationally but with specific geographical areas to cover
- Required to take forward refusals to prosecution:
 - Interviews under PACE
 - Developing the prosecution supported by ONS and legal representatives
 - Giving evidence in court

Operational Intelligence

- Required to support all activities from Recruitment to Output
- MIS requirement
 - Area levels
 - Government Office Region/Local Authority/Census Area Manager/Regional Manager/Team Manager/Output Area etc
 - Counts of respondents by channel
 - Counts of non-respondents
 - Analysis of follow-up actions
 - Record of information for pay purposes
 - Enabling dynamic allocation of field staff
 - Analysis of result of dynamic allocation of field staff
 - etc....

Data Capture operations – Set-up

- Software development – from 2007 test to 2011 Census
 - Paper/web/telephone capture
 - Coding
 - Image management
 - Partial follow-up
 - Workflow
- Lead time for getting suitable accommodation in area where appropriate staff can be recruited
 - for 2001 Census site was acquired in November 2000
- Accommodation – processing site & warehouse
 - Staffing
 - Training
 - Payroll
 - Security
- Hardware acquisition
- Software deployment
- Operational Readiness Testing

Data Capture - Paper

- From arrival of first completed form to Census day + 20 weeks
- After receipting – forms boxed & stored
- Ready for processing – de-envelope & guillotine spines
- Scan & recognise marks & characters
- Partial completion & blank form checks
 - approx 1.3 million
 - Route blank questionnaires for field follow-up via RMS
 - Find telephone number for partial completion
 - Route partial information to contact centre via RMS
- Key correction of characters failing automatic confidence levels
- Route data to coding

Data Capture – Internet & Telephone

- Internet
 - Unclear what level of internet take-up is likely
 - Channel Strategy to be developed in partnership with chosen supplier to understand the trends in internet usage over next 5 years & define target take-up levels
- Telephone Capture
 - Operation within Contact Centre
 - Limit use to specific groups – possibly extend during follow-up
- Available from start of delivery to end of follow-up
 - Approx 3 weeks before to 8 weeks after Census day
- Secure authentication using unique form identifier & address via RMS & Address Register
- Create Images of data captured for archive purposes
- Route data to coding

Data Capture - Coding

- Code text responses from all channels
 - Simple (simple classifications)
 - Complex (occupation & industry information)
 - Address (may be carried out within ONS)
- Majority coded automatically
- Remainder coded interactively
- Coding indexes supplied by ONS
- Corporate coding tool available, but not mandated to suppliers

Data Capture – Coding Volumetrics

Question	Volume Coded	% Coded Automatically	% Coded Manually
Country of Birth	3,780,151	81.6	18.4
Religion	1,045,874	74.4	25.6
Ethnic Group	3,866,964	75.7	24.3
Address 1 year ago	4,720,878	83.6	16.4
Workplace address	22,056,446	71.8	28.2
Industry	27,970,005	66.8	33.2
Occupation	29,611,276	72.2	27.8

Data Capture – Delivery & Closedown

- Merge data from all channels
- Deliver data & images to ONS
 - current requirement is for daily delivery
- Prepare images for archive
- Recycle paper questionnaires
- Degausse equipment
- Decommission site

Questions

- Any further questions
- Copies of today's presentation and additional information will be available on the National Statistics web site at:
 - <http://www.statistics.gov.uk/about/Census/census2011/research.asp>
- Any questions after today should be directed to Jane Dumayne at the ONS Procurement Unit

Informal discussions & Coffee

- Coffee
- Thank you for attending