

From : [REDACTED]
To : [REDACTED]
CC : [REDACTED], Nicola.Edge [REDACTED], Richard Laux/LONDON/ONS, [REDACTED]
Date Sent : 17/10/2014 17:21:19
Subject : Re: SCJS and Recorded Crime - comparison papers.

Hi [REDACTED],

Thanks for sending these documents on to us. We hope to give you feedback on them next week.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | Monitoring & Assessment Team | UK Statistics Authority | [REDACTED]
www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk/

Now you can follow us on Twitter! : [@UKStatsAuth](https://twitter.com/UKStatsAuth)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Nicola.Edge [REDACTED], Richard Laux/LONDON/ONS@ONS, [REDACTED]
Date: 10/10/2014 19:20
Subject: SCJS and Recorded Crime - comparison papers.

Hi [REDACTED],

Thanks for your comments. I am glad to see that the Framework of Assurance is on the right track. Please find attached the next instalment of documents. These deal with comparisons between the SCJS and Recorded Crime in Scotland, which are thankfully really easy for me to set into the context of the requirements – dealing as they do with specific requirements (numbers 10 and 11). Also please note that there are no figures after 2012/13 in these papers.

As you will see these documents are in a very advanced stage (some hyperlinks and formatting to be addressed), having taken on board the previous comments we received about the structure for the “Recorded Crime in Scotland” chapter on SCJS, and those of the draft paper comparing recorded crime and SCJS data. I have attached a brief document showing what has been done against the comments we have received.

I think that these documents have turned out to be really accessible, and hope that you find them an interesting read. I really have to thank the team for the amount of thought and effort they have put into them. I am hoping that this is sufficient information for us to consider our first requirements completed – do let me know if this is the case.

As always, give me a buzz if anything is unclear. User guide to follow.

Have a good weekend.

Yours,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Head of Safer Communities Analysis
The Scottish Government

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 10 October 2014 14:56

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]; Edge N (Nicola); Richard Laux; [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Framework or Assurance (outline structure) and overview of Crime Stats User Event

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for sending through the outline of your proposed framework for assurance, and for the information about the Crime Statistics User Event Scottish Government held on Monday.

Your proposed approach appears to cover the range of key issues that we'd expect Scottish Government to consider and publish information about. When populating the information in the relevant documentation, we would encourage you to include sufficient detail to support the statements made as evidence of the different assurance mechanisms Scottish Government has in place for police recorded crime. We would also encourage you to consider the relevant aspects of the Code of Practice.

It is positive to see that you have reviewed the material presented in the Exposure Draft report. When thinking about your statistics, it should be noted that the Exposure Draft presents the regulatory standards to which the Authority will be working and we would expect producers to consider each set of statistics alongside the Quality Assurance matrix. As part of developing the framework for assurance, you may also want to monitor relevant developments and approaches in England and Wales, and in Northern Ireland.

In response to your question about the presentation of the framework, the decision about how you choose to present the final version is yours, but a manageable document seems sensible for users.

We look forward to receiving a draft of the full document in due course.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | Statistical Assessor | Monitoring & Assessment Team | UK Statistics Authority |

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED], [Nicola.Edge](#), [REDACTED], Richard Laux/LONDON/ONS@ONS

Date: 03/10/2014 16:27
Subject: Framework or Assurance (outline structure) and overview of Crime Stats User Event

Hi [redacted] and [redacted],

Please find attached some initial information which I hope you find useful. It would be particularly helpful to get your views on the purpose and format for the Framework of Assurance. I have posed some questions below, which it would be great to have your take on.

Framework of assurance:

- Please find attached a draft "Framework of Assurance". This is not yet completed with the information that it will contain, but does clearly state the purpose and continued use for this document. We would be interested to hear your views on this?
- As we discussed this week, we are holding a workshop with our main producer partners (Police Scotland, SPA, HMICS) to facilitate the effective completion of the rest of this information. We believe that this will help this document to become a shared documents that will facilitate continuous improvement activity.
- We have not decided that the table format will be the final presentation method, however we are using this at present to ensure that each section contains the required information. Indeed, in discussion with the National Statistician's office, it was thought that we should aim for a manageable 4-5 page narrative document for publication (i.e. summarise the information that is contained in the table into a 4-5 page published document). It would be useful to gain your views if this is what we should aim towards for the published version?

Crime Statistics User Event.

- This is happening on Monday – 6th October.
- Attached is an agenda for the day. As can be seen, this event is targeted at user engagement not only in the short term (i.e. discussing the changes that happened since police reform, bringing together our partner organisations - Police Scotland, SPA and HMICS - to give users an overview of the new landscape and new publications, and discussing findings for recorded crime and the Scottish Crime and Justice Survey), but also in the longer term (asking users how they would like to be communicated with, what they find most useful, and what is most helpful in the presentation of the SCJS and recorded crime data). This is therefore the first step in on-going user engagement.

Yours,

[redacted]
[redacted]
Head of Safer Communities Analysis
The Scottish Government

[redacted]
[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 02 October 2014 17:46
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]; Edge N (Nicola); Halliday R (Roger); richard.laux
Subject: Timeline

Hi [redacted] and [redacted]

Good to talk to you yesterday. Please find attached the timeline that we chatted about. I have tried to give you a sense of what to expect when. We have taken the assumption of giving a week to receive comments back from you. I would be happy to chat to you about this assumption, as some of the documents will be chunkier than others. I am also hoping that you will not have to re-read full documents all the time (i.e. that we can highlight new or amended sections) to make this easier.

Would you like to give me a buzz tomorrow, so I can check this covers the information that you are looking for.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Head of Safer Communities Analysis
The Scottish Government

[Redacted]

This e-mail (and any files or other attachments transmitted with it) is intended solely for the attention of the addressee(s). Unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, copying or distribution of any part of this e-mail is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email, remove any copies from your system and inform the sender immediately by return.

Communications with the Scottish Government may be monitored or recorded in order to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The views or opinions contained within this e-mail may not necessarily reflect those of the Scottish Government.

Tha am post-d seo (agus faidhle neo ceanglan còmhla ris) dhan neach neo luchd-ainmichte a-mhàin. Chan eil e ceadaichte a chleachdadh ann an dòigh sam bith, a' toirt a-steach còraichean, foillseachadh neo sgaoileadh, gun chead. Ma 's e is gun d'fhuair sibh seo le gun fhiosd', bu choir cur às dhan phost-d agus lethbhreac sam bith air an t-siostam agaibh, leig fios chun neach a sgaoil am post-d gun dàil.

Dh'fhaodadh gum bi teachdaireachd sam bith bho Riaghaltas na h-Alba air a chlàradh neo air a sgrùdadh airson dearbhadh gu bheil an siostam ag obair gu h-èifeachdach neo airson adhbhar laghail eile. Dh'fhaodadh nach eil beachdan anns a' phost-d seo co-ionann ri beachdan Riaghaltas na h-Alba.

has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.[attachment "Crime Survey - 2012-13 - Analysis - Comparable Crimes - UKSA Assessment Requirement 11 Section in RC v.2.docx" deleted by [REDACTED]
[attachment "Crime Survey - 2012-13 - Analysis - Comparable Crimes - UKSA Assessment Requirement 10 v.3.docx" deleted by [REDACTED] [attachment "UKSA comments - actions - SCJS and Recorded Crime.docx" deleted by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]