

Statistical bulletin

# Labour productivity, UK: April to June 2018

Output per hour, output per job and output per worker for the whole economy and a range of industries. Includes estimates of unit labour costs.



Contact:  
Marianthi Dunn  
productivity@ons.gov.uk  
+44 (0)1633 455086

Release date:  
5 October 2018

Next release:  
9 January 2019

## Notice

### 5 October 2018

We would like to draw users' attention to [this note](#) which provides further information on the particularly large impact between Quarter 4 (Oct to Dec) 1997 and Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 1998 on industry K, which covers the financial and insurance industries.

# Table of contents

1. [Main points](#)
2. [Things you need to know about this release](#)
3. [Labour productivity up for the seventh consecutive quarter compared with a year ago](#)
4. [Output per hour up in both services and manufacturing, compared with a year ago](#)
5. [Unit labour costs grow for the thirteenth consecutive quarter](#)
6. [Experimental industry by region productivity statistics](#)
7. [Links to related statistics](#)
8. [What's changed in this release?](#)
9. [Quality and methodology](#)

# 1 . Main points

- Labour productivity for Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, as measured by output per hour, grew by 1.4% compared with the same quarter a year ago; this remains noticeably below the long-term trend observed before 2008 when productivity growth averaged nearly 2% per year.
- Labour productivity grew, compared with the previous year, in both services and manufacturing industries, by 1.8% and 1.3% respectively.
- UK labour productivity is estimated to have increased by 0.5% in Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018 compared with the previous quarter; the increase in productivity can be attributed to a fall in the number of actual hours worked and stronger output growth.
- Labour productivity in the services industries grew by 0.9% in Quarter 2 2018 compared with the previous quarter, while productivity in manufacturing industries decreased by 0.1% over the same period.
- Productivity hours worked decreased by 0.1% in Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018 compared with the previous quarter, while the number of jobs increased by 0.1% over the same period; comparing with the same quarter a year ago, productivity hours worked decreased by 0.2%, while the number of jobs increased by 0.9% respectively.
- Earnings and other labour costs growth outpaced productivity growth, resulting in unit labour cost growth of 2.0% in the year to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, down from the 2.7% growth in the year to Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2018.

## 2 . Things you need to know about this release

This release reports labour productivity estimates for Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018 for the whole economy and a range of industries, together with estimates of unit labour costs. Productivity is important as it is considered to be a driver of long-run changes in average living standards.

This edition forms part of our quarterly productivity bulletin, which also includes an [overarching commentary](#), [quarterly estimates of public service productivity](#) and articles on productivity-related topics and data.

Labour productivity is calculated by dividing output by labour input. Output refers to gross value added (GVA), which is an estimate of the volume of goods and services produced by an industry, and in aggregate for the UK as a whole. Labour inputs in this release are measured in terms of workers, jobs (“productivity jobs”) and hours worked (“productivity hours”).

This release also reports estimates of unit labour costs (ULCs), which capture the full labour costs – including social security and employers’ pension contributions – incurred in the production of a unit of economic output. Labour costs make up around two-thirds of the overall cost of production of UK economic output. Changes in labour costs are therefore a large factor in overall changes in the cost of production. If increases in labour costs are not reflected in the volume of output, this can put upward pressure on the prices of goods and services, therefore this is a closely watched indicator of inflationary pressure in the economy.

The equations for labour productivity and ULCs can be found in the Quality and methodology section of this release.

The output statistics in this release are consistent with the latest [Quarterly national accounts](#) published on 28 September 2018. Note that productivity in this release does not refer to [gross domestic product \(GDP\) per person](#), which is a measure that includes people who are not in employment.

The labour input measures used in this release are consistent with the latest [labour market statistics](#) as described further in the Quality and methodology section of this bulletin.

Unless otherwise stated all figures are seasonally adjusted.

This release introduces a number of methodological improvements in the estimation of labour productivity statistics, which were announced in [Improvements to the latest Labour productivity bulletin: October 2018](#), published in September 2018. Further information can be found in Section 8 “What has changed in this release?” of this statistical bulletin.

### **3 . Labour productivity up for the seventh consecutive quarter compared with a year ago**

Compared with the same quarter a year ago, labour productivity, on an output per hour basis, grew by 1.4% and has been growing for the past seven consecutive quarters.

A 1.4% growth, compared with the same quarter in the previous year, is significantly lower than the long period of average productivity growth prior to the economic downturn, and represents a continuation of the UK’s “productivity puzzle”. This sustained stagnation contrasts with patterns following previous UK economic downturns, when productivity initially fell, but subsequently recovered to the previous trend rate of growth. There is wide and varied economic debate regarding the causes of this puzzle and further analysis of recent UK productivity trends can be found in the [January 2016](#), [May 2016](#) and [June 2016](#) Economic reviews, as well as in several stand-alone articles including: [What is the productivity puzzle?](#), [The productivity conundrum, explanations and preliminary analysis](#) and [The productivity conundrum, interpreting the recent behaviour of the economy](#).

This puzzle is shown in Figure 1, which presents two alternative measures of productivity – output per hour and output per worker – alongside their projected 1994 to 2007 trends. Following years of steady growth, each measure peaked prior to and fell during the economic downturn. However, due to a [strong labour market performance accompanying a relatively weak recovery in output growth](#), productivity has not returned to its pre-downturn trend. Productivity in Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, as measured by output per hour, was 17.6% below its pre-downturn trend – or, equivalently, productivity would have been 21.4% higher had it followed this pre-downturn trend<sup>1</sup>.


Labour productivity increased by 0.5% in Quarter 2 2018. This increase left productivity 1.9% above its peak in Quarter 4 (Oct to Dec) 2007, prior to the economic downturn.

## Figure 1: Output per hour and output per worker

Seasonally adjusted, Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 1994 to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, UK

### Figure 1: Output per hour and output per worker

Seasonally adjusted, Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 1994 to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, UK



Source: Office for National Statistics

Figure 2 breaks down the growth in productivity between Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2008 and Quarter 2 2018 into contributions from different industry groupings and an “allocation effect” due to changes in the share of output and labour in each grouping. All else being equal, stronger productivity growth in any given industry, or a movement of output and labour towards higher productivity industries, will tend to increase aggregate productivity growth, while the opposite would reduce it.


Non-financial services were the main positive contributor to productivity growth over this period, partly offset by negative contributions from non-manufacturing production and finance. The negative allocation effect – suggesting that output and labour have been moving away from higher to lower productivity industries in recent years – partly captures the falling share of output in mining and quarrying, which has among the highest levels of productivity of UK industry. This is partially a result of the falling reserves of oil and gas in the North Sea. Although negative for the period as a whole, the allocation effect was initially positive following the downturn, but [turned negative in recent years](#).

## Figure 2: Contributions to growth of whole economy output per hour

Seasonally adjusted, cumulative quarterly changes, Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2008 to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, UK

### Figure 2: Contributions to growth of whole economy output per hour

Seasonally adjusted, cumulative quarterly changes, Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2008 to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, UK



Source: Office for National Statistics

#### Notes:

1. Non-manufacturing production refers to: agriculture, forestry and fishing; mining and quarrying; electricity, gas, steam and air-conditioning supply; and water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities.

#### Notes for: Labour productivity up for the seventh consecutive quarter compared with a year ago

1. Differences between these two measures are due to differences in the denominator used in the calculation. Using the actual output per hour series as the denominator, rather than the trend series, results in a higher percentage gap. This is due to the actual series being lower than the trend series post-downturn.


## 4 . Output per hour up in both services and manufacturing, compared with a year ago

Services output per hour, compared with the same period a year ago, increased by 1.8% in the latest quarter (Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018), with output increasing significantly faster than the decrease in hours worked. Similarly, over the year, in manufacturing, labour productivity increased by 1.3%, with output growing faster than the decrease in hours worked. Compared with the previous quarter, output per hour in services increased by 0.9% and in manufacturing decreased by 0.1%.

Figure 3 examines longer-term trends, showing output per hour and its components since Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2008. Services are represented in the left-hand panel, while manufacturing is represented in the right-hand panel. Manufacturing output per hour has been more volatile than services in recent years. This reflects a degree of divergence in manufacturing between gross value added (GVA) and hours, most noticeable in 2009 and between 2011 to 2012, whereas in services, GVA and hours follow fairly similar trends.

**Figure 3: Components of services and manufacturing productivity measures**

Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2007 to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018



Source: Office for National Statistics

## 5 . Unit labour costs grow for the thirteenth consecutive quarter

Unit labour costs (ULCs) reflect the full labour costs, including social security and employers' pension contributions, incurred in the production of a unit of economic output. Changes in labour costs are a large factor in overall changes in the cost of production. If increased costs are not reflected in increased output, for instance, this can put upward pressure on the prices of goods and services – sometimes referred to as “inflationary pressure”. ULCs grew by 2.0% in the year to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, reflecting a larger percentage increase in labour costs per hour than output per hour, although this growth level has been fairly consistent over the last three years, as shown in Figure 4.

This shows changes in ULCs since Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2008 compared with the same quarter a year earlier. Holding other factors constant, increasing output per hour reduces ULCs as total labour costs remain constant while output rises. As a result, output per hour has its sign reversed in Figure 4. In this presentation, positive output per hour growth has a negative effect on ULC growth, while negative output per hour growth has a positive effect on ULC growth.

While growth in ULCs has been broadly positive since the onset of the economic downturn, averaging around 1.5% since Quarter 1 2008, there has been substantial variation during this period. During the recent economic downturn, ULCs began to grow at a relatively high rate, reaching a peak of 6.3% by the end of the downturn in Quarter 2 2009 and remaining elevated until Quarter 1 2010. Figure 4 shows that the initial increase in ULC growth during the downturn was driven by falling output per hour, but from Quarter 2 2009 onwards, increasing labour costs per hour were the driving factor. Following the downturn, growth in ULCs began to slow, eventually becoming negative in Quarter 2 2010.


Following a period of low or negative growth, ULC growth has fluctuated around 2% for the past two years. This increase broadly reflects higher hourly labour cost growth, with relatively little offsetting output per hour growth.

**Figure 4: Whole economy unit labour costs and their compositions, growth on quarter a year ago**

Seasonally adjusted, UK, Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2008 to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, UK

Figure 4: Whole economy unit labour costs and their compositions, growth on quarter a year ago

Seasonally adjusted, UK, Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2008 to Quarter 2 (Apr to June) 2018, UK



Source: Office for National Statistics

Notes:

1. Labour costs per hour estimates will differ from those in our Index of Labour Costs per Hour bulletin, due to differences in methodology.

## 6 . Experimental industry by region productivity statistics


In July 2017, we introduced a dataset of labour productivity for 16 industry sections by Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics (NUTS1) regions of the UK. These experimental statistics provide estimates for output per hour and output per job for each industry section in the NUTS1 region, as well as productivity hours and jobs estimates. Although the methodology employed differs from the National Statistics estimates presented in this release, the datasets have been welcomed by regional users and we plan to incorporate a regular section in the labour productivity statistical bulletin. We are also working in co-operation with the UK Statistics Authority to badge these datasets as National Statistics. For more information about the methodology of these experimental statistics, please see this [article](#).

Figure 5 presents output per hour for the manufacturing and services industries in the UK economy by each of the regions, taking into account the total hours worked in each region. Although London records the highest output per hour in services, the North West and Scotland record the largest output per hour in manufacturing.



**Figure 5: Output per hour in services and manufacturing by region**

Current prices, 2016



Source: Office for National Statistics

Notes:

1. Output per hour is in current prices.
2. Services includes industries G to T.

Figure 6 shows the annual growth rates for the manufacturing industry, comparing the periods before and after the economic downturn. Output per hour for manufacturing, grew by 4.4% per year on average before the economic downturn. On a regional basis, Yorkshire and The Humber, the North East, the East, and the North West experienced the largest slowdowns in average growth in labour productivity, with growth in output per hour 5 percentage points lower than growth in the pre-downturn period. London and Wales recorded a post downturn growth rate of around 1 percentage point compared with the pre-downturn period.

## Figure 6: Output per hour in manufacturing by region

Chain volume measure, 2016

### Figure 6: Output per hour in manufacturing by region

Chain volume measure, 2016



Source: Office for National Statistics

## 7 . Links to related statistics

- [Productivity economic commentary: April to June 2018](#) draws together the main findings from official statistics and analysis of UK productivity to present a summary of recent developments (published 5 October 2018).
- [Multi-factor productivity estimates: Experimental estimates to quarter 2](#) (April to June) 2018 presents quarterly estimates of multi-factor productivity (MFP), capital services and quality-adjusted labour input (QALI), including a range of industry breakdowns and analysis (published 5 October 2018).
- [A simple guide to multi-factor productivity](#) explains the concept and measurement of MFP through some simple stylised examples (published 5 October 2018).
- [Quarterly UK public service productivity \(Experimental Statistics\): April to June 2018](#) contains the latest experimental estimates for quarterly UK total public service productivity, inputs and output (published 5 October 2018).
- [Information and Communication Technology intensity and productivity](#) contains new firm-level analysis to explore the relationship between the use of technologies and productivity (published 5 October 2018).
- [Productivity development plan: 2018 to 2020](#) is a development plan that builds on recent improvements to our productivity statistics and looks at introducing new outputs, further improving our productivity statistics and consolidating our improvements to date (published 6 July 2018).
- [How productive is your business](#) is an interactive tool that helps businesses to calculate their productivity and compare their performance with other businesses in Great Britain (published 6 July 2018).

## Related content

[International comparisons of productivity](#) are published in levels and growth rates for the G7 countries. More international data on productivity are available from the [Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development \(OECD\)](#), [Eurostat](#) and the US [Conference Board](#).

We publish experimental estimates of [multi-factor productivity](#) (MFP), which decompose output growth into the contributions that can be accounted for by labour and capital inputs. In these estimates, the contribution of labour is further decomposed into quantity (hours worked) and quality dimensions.

The [Economic review](#) covers recent developments in the UK economy, featuring our latest economic statistics as well as in-depth analysis of current issues.

[Experimental indices of labour costs per hour](#) differ from the concept of labour costs used in the unit labour cost estimates in the labour productivity release. The main difference is that experimental indices of labour costs per hour relate to employees only, whereas unit labour costs also include the labour remuneration of the self-employed.

Lastly, we publish a range of [Public sector productivity measures](#) and related articles. These measures define productivity differently from that used in our labour productivity and MFP estimates. Further information can be found in the [Economic and Labour Market Review, No. 5, May 2010](#) and in an [information note](#) published on 4 June 2015.

More information on the range of our productivity estimates can be found in the [ONS Productivity Handbook](#).

## 8 . What's changed in this release?

## Revisions

This release reflects methodological improvements to productivity hours, which revised the levels of productivity from Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 1994 within particular industries. These improvements have relatively little effect on patterns of growth over time for individual industries and only a small effect on total hours worked across the whole economy (due to the use of unrounded total hours worked). Further information can be found in the article [Improvements to the latest Labour productivity bulletin: October 2018](#), published on 14 September 2018.

Revisions to gross value added and income data from quarterly national accounts are consistent with the [UK economic accounts](#) published on 28 September 2018 and they affect the time series from Quarter 1 2017.

Revised estimates in employee jobs have been incorporated for Quarter 1 2018, while latest data from HM Treasury have small effects on employment subsidies and subsequently to unit labour costs, from Quarter 1 2017. Revised estimates from HM Forces have had minor effects on the East of England and the South East for Quarter 3 (July to Sept) 2017 onwards.

Revisions resulting from seasonal adjustment affect all periods, where seasonal adjustment is applied.

## Methodological changes

Methodological improvements have been introduced when calculating productivity hours. The improvements (discussed at our [February 2018 User Group](#)) include an improved mapping of industry classification prior to 2009; greater consistency across higher and lower levels of industry aggregation; the use of additional data that improve the coverage of labour hours; consistent treatment of Labour Force Survey hours and jobs in mining of metal ores; as well as the application of a seasonal adjustment review.

Both the primary-section level data in LPROD01 as well as the experimental industry-by-region data have been affected by these methodological improvements, within particular industries. There is additionally a very small effect on total productivity hours worked across the whole economy due to the use of unrounded total labour hours.

Further information on the methodological improvements can be found in the article [Improvements to the latest Labour productivity bulletin: October 2018](#) published on 14 September 2018.

## Commentary on experimental industry by region productivity statistics

The bulletin introduces commentary on industry by region productivity statistics, with a focus on output per hour in manufacturing industries by region.

## International comparisons of UK productivity

In the previous statistical bulletin [International comparisons of UK productivity \(ICP\), final estimates: 2016](#), we informed users that the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) had discontinued the publication of their Annual Labour Force Statistics (ALFS) database total employment measure, which has historically been used as the employment measure for ICP. In the absence of these data, Office for National Statistics (ONS) was using an interim method, pending a more detailed review of the international comparisons of productivity labour inputs and further engagement with OECD.

Due to an ongoing review of the methodology, the International comparisons of UK productivity: first estimates 2017 will not be published as part of this release. Further information can be found in the previous statistical bulletin [International comparisons of UK productivity \(ICP\), final estimates: 2016](#).

## 9 . Quality and methodology

The measure of output used in these statistics is the chained volume (real) measure of gross value added (GVA) at basic prices, with the exception of the regional analysis in Table 9, where the output measure is nominal GVA (NGVA), using the income approach. These measures differ because NGVA is not adjusted to account for price changes; this means that if prices were to rise more quickly in one region than the others, then the measures of productivity for that region could show relative growth in productivity compared with other regions purely as a result of the price changes.

Labour input measures used in this bulletin are known as “productivity jobs” and “productivity hours”. Productivity jobs differ from the workforce jobs (WFJ) estimates, published in Table 6 of our [Labour market statistical bulletin](#), in three ways:

- to achieve consistency with the measurement of GVA, the employee component of productivity jobs is derived on a reporting unit basis, whereas the employee component of the WFJ estimates is on a local unit basis
- productivity jobs are scaled so industries sum to total Labour Force Survey (LFS) jobs – note that this constraint is applied in non-seasonally adjusted terms; the nature of the seasonal adjustment process means that the sum of seasonally adjusted productivity jobs and hours by industry can differ slightly from the seasonally adjusted LFS totals
- productivity jobs are calendar quarter average estimates, whereas WFJ estimates are provided for the last month of each quarter

Productivity hours are derived by multiplying employee and self-employed jobs at an industry level (before seasonal adjustment) by average actual hours worked from the LFS at an industry level. Results are scaled so industries sum to total unadjusted LFS hours and then seasonally adjusted. Labour productivity is then derived using growth rates for GVA and labour inputs in line with the following equation:

$$\Delta \text{Labour productivity} = \Delta \left( \frac{\text{Output in Gross Value Added (GVA) terms}}{\text{Labour Input (hours, workers or jobs)}} \right) \approx \Delta \text{GVA} - \Delta \text{Labour Input}$$

Industry estimates of average hours derived in this process differ from published estimates (found in Table HOUR03 in the [Labour market statistics](#) release), as the HOUR03 estimates are calculated by allocating all hours worked to the industry of main employment, whereas the productivity hours system takes account of hours worked in first and second jobs by industry.

Whole-economy unit labour costs (ULCs) are calculated as the ratio of total labour costs (that is, the product of labour input and costs per unit of labour) to GVA. Further detail on the methodology can be found in [Revised methodology for unit wage costs and unit labour costs: explanation and impact](#).

The equation for growth of ULCs can be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta ULC &= \Delta \left( \frac{\text{Labour Costs}}{\text{GVA}} \right) \\ &\approx \Delta \text{Labour Costs per unit of Labour Input} - \Delta \text{Labour Productivity} \end{aligned}$$

Manufacturing unit wage costs are calculated as the ratio of manufacturing average weekly earnings to manufacturing output per filled job. On 28 November 2012 we published [Productivity measures: sectional unit labour costs](#), describing new measures of ULCs below the whole-economy level and proposing to replace the currently published series for manufacturing unit wage costs with a broader and more consistent measure of ULCs.

A research note, [Sources of revisions to labour productivity estimates](#), is available and further commentary on the nature and sources of the revisions introduced in this quarter is available in the [UK productivity bulletin – introduction](#).

The [Labour productivity Quality and Methodology Information report](#) contains important information on:

- the strengths and limitations of the data and how it compares with related data
- uses and users of the data
- how the output was created
- the quality of the output including accuracy of the data

# 1 Labour productivity key measures

United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

Section	Whole economy			Production		Manufacturing		Services	
	Output per worker	Output per job	Output per hour	Output per job	Output per hour	Output per job	Output per hour	Output per job	Output per hour
	A-U	A-U	A-U	B-E	B-E	C	C	G-U	G-U
<b>Indices</b>	A4YM	LNNN	LZVB	DJ4M	DJK3	DJ4P	DJK6	DJE3	DJP9
2014	98.7	98.4	98.5	98.9	98.1 <sup>†</sup>	100.5	100.0 <sup>†</sup>	98.4	98.8
2015	99.5	99.3	99.5	98.9	98.4	99.5	98.9	99.3	99.5 <sup>†</sup>
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	100.9	101.0 <sup>†</sup>	100.8 <sup>†</sup>	101.2 <sup>†</sup>	101.7	101.6 <sup>†</sup>	102.6	101.2 <sup>†</sup>	101.0
2014 Q3	99.0	98.6	98.7	98.6	98.5 <sup>†</sup>	100.3	100.5 <sup>†</sup>	98.6	98.8
Q4	99.5	99.2	99.1	98.7	98.0	100.3	100.1	99.4	99.5
2015 Q1	99.1	98.8	99.1	98.1	98.1	99.4	99.2	98.9	99.2 <sup>†</sup>
Q2	100.0	99.7	99.7	99.3	98.8	99.7	99.5	99.4	99.8
Q3	99.6	99.5	100.1	99.0	99.5	99.4	99.6	99.4	100.1
Q4	99.4	99.4	98.9	99.2	97.3	99.5	97.5	99.6	99.1
2016 Q1	99.6	99.6	99.5	99.1	98.4	99.6	98.6	99.9	99.7
Q2	99.5	99.6	99.7	100.1	100.2	99.6	99.8	99.4	99.7
Q3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.4	99.5	100.0	99.9	99.9
Q4	100.8	100.8	100.7	100.8	101.0	101.3	101.6	100.8	100.7
2017 Q1	100.8	100.9	100.4 <sup>†</sup>	101.6 <sup>†</sup>	101.5	102.0 <sup>†</sup>	102.3	101.1 <sup>†</sup>	100.5
Q2	100.7 <sup>†</sup>	100.8 <sup>†</sup>	100.2	101.0	100.9	101.3	101.6	101.0	100.5
Q3	101.1	101.1	101.0	101.0	101.6	100.9	102.0	101.4	101.4
Q4	101.2	101.2	101.7	101.2	102.9	102.1	104.4	101.4	101.7
2018 Q1	100.6	100.7	101.1	100.8	101.8	101.5	103.0	101.1	101.4
Q2	100.9	101.0	101.6	99.8	101.2	100.8	102.9	101.6	102.3
<b>Per cent change on quarter a year ago</b>	A4YN	LNNP	LZVD	DJ4O	DJK5	DJ4R	DJK8	DJE5	DJQ3
2014 Q3	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.8	2.5 <sup>†</sup>	2.1	3.7 <sup>†</sup>	1.0	0.9
Q4	1.8	1.8	1.2	0.5	0.5	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.4
2015 Q1	1.2	1.1	1.1	-1.0	0.2	-1.2	-0.3	1.1	0.7 <sup>†</sup>
Q2	1.5	1.6	1.8	-	0.6	-1.1	-0.4	1.4	1.3
Q3	0.6	0.8	1.4 <sup>†</sup>	0.4	1.0	-0.9	-0.9	0.8	1.3
Q4	-0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.5	-0.8	-0.8	-2.6	0.3	-0.4
2016 Q1	0.5	0.9	0.4	1.0	0.3	0.2	-0.6	1.0	0.5
Q2	-0.4	-0.1	-0.2	0.8	1.5	-0.1	0.3	-	-0.1
Q3	0.4	0.5	-0.1	1.0	0.9	0.2	0.4	0.5	-0.2
Q4	1.4	1.5	1.9	1.6	3.8	1.8	4.3	1.2	1.6
2017 Q1	1.2	1.3 <sup>†</sup>	0.8	2.5 <sup>†</sup>	3.2	2.5 <sup>†</sup>	3.7	1.2 <sup>†</sup>	0.7
Q2	1.1	1.2	0.5	1.0	0.7	1.7	1.9	1.6	0.8
Q3	1.0 <sup>†</sup>	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.4	2.1	1.5	1.5
Q4	0.4	0.3	1.0	0.3	1.8	0.8	2.7	0.6	1.0
2018 Q1	-0.2	-0.2	0.8	-0.8	0.3	-0.5	0.7	-	0.9
Q2	0.2	0.3	1.4	-1.2	0.2	-0.5	1.3	0.6	1.8
<b>Per cent change on previous quarter</b>	A4YO	DMWR	TXBB	DJ4N	DJK4	DJ4Q	DJK7	DJE4	DJQ2
2014 Q3	0.5	0.6	0.5	-0.7	0.4 <sup>†</sup>	-0.6	0.7 <sup>†</sup>	0.6	0.4
Q4	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.1	-0.4	-	-0.4	0.8	0.7
2015 Q1	-0.4	-0.4	-	-0.5	-	-0.8 <sup>†</sup>	-0.9	-0.5	-0.3 <sup>†</sup>
Q2	0.8	0.9	0.8	1.1 <sup>†</sup>	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.5
Q3	-0.4	-0.2	0.1	-0.3	0.7	-0.3	0.1	-	0.3
Q4	-0.2	-0.1	-1.2	0.2	-2.2	0.2	-2.1	0.2	-1.0
2016 Q1	0.2	0.3	0.7	-0.1	1.1	0.1	1.2	0.3 <sup>†</sup>	0.6
Q2	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	1.0	1.9	-	1.1	-0.5	-
Q3	0.5	0.4	0.3	-0.1	0.2	-0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2
Q4	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.8	1.7	0.9	0.8
2017 Q1	-	0.1 <sup>†</sup>	-0.4	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.3	-0.2
Q2	-0.1 <sup>†</sup>	-0.1	-0.2	-0.6	-0.6	-0.7	-0.7	-0.1	-
Q3	0.4	0.4	0.9	-0.1	0.6	-0.4	0.4	0.4	0.9
Q4	0.1	-	0.7 <sup>†</sup>	0.2	1.3	1.1	2.3	-	0.3
2018 Q1	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6	-0.4	-1.0	-0.6	-1.3	-0.3	-0.3
Q2	0.3	0.3	0.5	-1.0	-0.6	-0.7	-0.1	0.5	0.9

<sup>†</sup>Indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised

## 2 Unit labour costs and unit wage costs

United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

Section	Whole economy		Manufacturing
	Unit labour costs	Unit wage costs	Unit wage costs
	A-U	A-U	C
<b>Indices</b>			
	LNNL	LNNK	DIX4
2014	97.5	97.4	95.7
2015	98.3	98.6	98.1
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	101.8 <sup>†</sup>	101.6 <sup>†</sup>	100.4 <sup>†</sup>
2014 Q3	97.3	97.1	95.8
Q4	97.2	97.4	96.3
2015 Q1	97.2	97.4	97.3
Q2	97.7	97.8	97.6 <sup>†</sup>
Q3	99.1	99.8	98.6
Q4	99.1	99.5	99.1
2016 Q1	97.9	98.4	99.4
Q2	100.4	100.5	100.6
Q3	101.5	101.4	100.9
Q4	100.1	99.8	99.1
2017 Q1	100.7	100.1 <sup>†</sup>	98.7
Q2	101.8 <sup>†</sup>	101.6	100.1
Q3	102.1	101.9	101.5
Q4	102.7	102.9	101.2
2018 Q1	103.4	103.9	102.0
Q2	103.9	103.9	103.2
<b>Per cent change on quarter a year ago</b>			
	DMWN	LOJE	DJ4J
2014 Q3	-1.5	-1.0	-0.2 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	-1.9	-1.0	-0.1
2015 Q1	-1.1	-0.3	1.9
Q2	0.5	0.4	2.6
Q3	1.8	2.8	2.9
Q4	2.0	2.2	2.9
2016 Q1	0.7	1.0	2.2
Q2	2.9	2.7	3.1
Q3	2.4	1.6	2.3
Q4	1.0	0.3	-
2017 Q1	2.9	1.8 <sup>†</sup>	-0.7
Q2	1.4 <sup>†</sup>	1.1	-0.5
Q3	0.6	0.5	0.6
Q4	2.6	3.2	2.1
2018 Q1	2.7	3.7	3.3
Q2	2.0	2.3	3.1
<b>Per cent change on previous quarter</b>			
	DMWO	DMWL	DJ4I
2014 Q3	0.2	-0.3	0.8 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	-0.2	0.3	0.5
2015 Q1	-	-	1.0
Q2	0.5	0.4	0.3
Q3	1.5	2.1	1.1
Q4	-0.1	-0.3	0.6
2016 Q1	-1.2	-1.1	0.3
Q2	2.6	2.1	1.2
Q3	1.1	0.9	0.3
Q4	-1.4	-1.6	-1.7
2017 Q1	0.6	0.3 <sup>†</sup>	-0.4
Q2	1.1 <sup>†</sup>	1.4	1.4
Q3	0.3	0.3	1.4
Q4	0.5	1.0	-0.3
2018 Q1	0.7	0.9	0.7
Q2	0.5	-	1.2

<sup>†</sup> indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised.



# 3 Output per job: Manufacturing subsections

## United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

Divisions	Food, beverages & tobacco	Textiles, wearing apparel & leather	Wood & paper products, & printing	Chemicals, Pharmaceuticals	Rubber, plastics & non-metallic minerals	Basic metals & metal products	Computer etc products, Electrical equipment	Machinery & equipment	Transport equipment	Coke & refined petroleum, Other manufacturing
	10-12	13-15	16-18	20-21	22-23	24-25	26-27	28	29-30	19,31-33
<b>Level (£k)</b>										
2013	63.0	50.0	47.4	146.2	51.7	51.2	60.8	56.6	76.1	54.7
<b>Indices</b>										
	DJ54	DJ57	DJ5F	DJ5I	DJ5L	DJB2	DJB7	DJC2	DJC5	DJD3
2014	104.3	97.2	100.4	93.0	97.7	101.7	94.0	113.4	101.6	101.7
2015	101.4	105.0	100.7	97.7	95.3	99.4	96.6	97.9	102.2	98.9
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	99.2 <sup>†</sup>	103.9 <sup>†</sup>	101.5 <sup>†</sup>	93.9 <sup>†</sup>	102.8	99.1	105.7 <sup>†</sup>	111.4 <sup>†</sup>	103.7	102.7 <sup>†</sup>
2014 Q3	103.5	92.8	100.1	93.7	97.4	102.3	94.8	115.3	100.5	101.6
Q4	103.2	95.3	100.4	95.9	94.9	101.0	94.5	112.5	102.4	102.8
2015 Q1	101.9	101.1	100.9 <sup>†</sup>	97.6	94.8	101.1	93.4	101.0	102.3	98.6
Q2	100.8	106.1	99.7	97.4	93.3	101.5	98.1	97.9	104.2	99.3 <sup>†</sup>
Q3	101.6	108.5	100.8	97.7	96.2	96.8	97.8	96.6	101.5	98.4
Q4	101.5	104.3	101.2	98.3	97.0	98.1	97.0	96.0 <sup>†</sup>	100.8	99.3
2016 Q1	100.4	108.8	99.1	97.9	99.6	101.6	97.2	95.8	99.6	98.7
Q2	100.5	98.0	100.3	102.1	100.5	98.8	99.7	96.7	101.4	96.5
Q3	100.0 <sup>†</sup>	97.0	100.0	98.0	97.8	99.7	98.6	101.9	98.6	102.3
Q4	99.0	96.2	100.7	102.1	102.1	99.8	104.4	105.6	100.4	102.4
2017 Q1	99.5	101.6 <sup>†</sup>	104.0	92.4 <sup>†</sup>	102.8	98.0 <sup>†</sup>	105.9 <sup>†</sup>	111.6	103.4 <sup>†</sup>	107.0
Q2	98.8	103.2	100.4	95.0	102.7 <sup>†</sup>	98.2	105.9	109.5	102.5	103.7
Q3	99.2	103.9	100.7	93.5	102.4	98.2	104.9	111.0	104.7	99.1
Q4	99.2	106.9	100.7	94.5	103.5	102.1	106.0	113.3	104.3	101.0
2018 Q1	98.6	97.8	101.7	93.7	102.3	100.5	109.8	114.7	102.5	100.6
Q2	99.2	100.9	103.4	93.9	102.0	95.1	109.5	111.5	99.6	101.0
<b>Per cent change on quarter a year ago</b>										
	DJ56	DJ5E	DJ5H	DJ5K	DJ5N	DJB6	DJB9	DJC4	DJD2	DJD7
2014 Q3	3.1	-7.3	-3.7	6.9	5.9	1.5	4.1	12.2 <sup>†</sup>	-0.9	1.5
Q4	2.1	-1.2	-1.8	6.6	0.3	-1.3	5.2	7.1	2.0	2.5
2015 Q1	-3.4	2.4	-0.1 <sup>†</sup>	6.2	-4.5	-1.4	1.4	-8.2	1.1	-3.5
Q2	-4.1	4.3	-0.3	7.3	-6.1	0.3	4.0	-15.4	1.6	-0.9
Q3	-1.8	16.9	0.6	4.3	-1.2	-5.3	3.2	-16.2	1.1	-3.1
Q4	-1.6	9.4	0.9	2.5	2.1	-2.8	2.6	-14.7	-1.5	-3.5
2016 Q1	-1.5	7.5	-1.8	0.3	5.1	0.5	4.1	-5.2	-2.7	0.1
Q2	-0.3	-7.7	0.6	4.8	7.7	-2.6	1.6	-1.2	-2.6	-2.8
Q3	-1.5	-10.6	-0.8	0.3	1.7	3.0	0.8	5.5	-2.8	3.9 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	-2.4	-7.7	-0.6	3.8	5.3	1.7	7.7	10.1	-0.4	3.2
2017 Q1	-0.8 <sup>†</sup>	-6.6 <sup>†</sup>	5.0	-5.6 <sup>†</sup>	3.2	-3.5 <sup>†</sup>	8.9 <sup>†</sup>	16.5	3.8 <sup>†</sup>	8.4
Q2	-1.7	5.3	0.1	-6.9	2.2 <sup>†</sup>	-0.7	6.2	13.2	1.1	7.5
Q3	-0.8	7.0	0.7	-4.6	4.7	-1.5	6.4	8.9	6.2	-3.1
Q4	0.2	11.0	0.1	-7.4	1.4	2.2	1.5	7.3	3.9	-1.4
2018 Q1	-0.9	-3.7	-2.3	1.4	-0.5	2.5	3.7	2.8	-0.9	-6.0
Q2	0.4	-2.2	3.0	-1.2	-0.7	-3.2	3.4	1.9	-2.8	-2.6
<b>Per cent change on previous quarter</b>										
	DJ55	DJ58	DJ5G	DJ5J	DJ5M	DJB3	DJB8	DJC3	DJC6	DJD4
2014 Q3	-1.6	-8.8	0.1 <sup>†</sup>	3.2	-2.0	1.1	0.5	-0.4 <sup>†</sup>	-2.0	1.4 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	-0.3	2.7	0.2	2.3	-2.5	-1.2	-0.3	-2.4	1.9	1.2
2015 Q1	-1.2	6.1	0.6	1.8	-0.1	0.1	-1.1	-10.2	-0.1	-4.1
Q2	-1.1	4.9	-1.2	-0.2	-1.6	0.3	5.0	-3.1	1.8	0.7
Q3	0.8	2.2	1.1	0.3	3.1	-4.6	-0.3	-1.3	-2.5	-0.8
Q4	-0.1	-3.9	0.5	0.6	0.8	1.4	-0.9	-0.6	-0.7	0.8
2016 Q1	-1.1	4.3	-2.1	-0.4	2.7	3.5	0.3	-0.2	-1.3	-0.5
Q2	0.2 <sup>†</sup>	-9.9	1.2	4.3	0.9	-2.7	2.5	0.9	1.9	-2.2
Q3	-0.5	-0.9	-0.3	-4.0	-2.7	0.9	-1.1	5.4	-2.7	6.0
Q4	-1.0	-0.8	0.7	4.2	4.4	0.1	5.9	3.7	1.8	0.1
2017 Q1	0.5	5.6 <sup>†</sup>	3.3	-9.4 <sup>†</sup>	0.7	-1.9 <sup>†</sup>	1.4 <sup>†</sup>	5.7	3.0 <sup>†</sup>	4.5
Q2	-0.7	1.5	-3.5	2.8	-0.1 <sup>†</sup>	0.2	-	-1.9	-0.8	-3.1
Q3	0.4	0.7	0.3	-1.6	-0.2	-	-0.9	1.4	2.1	-4.4
Q4	-	2.9	0.1	1.0	1.0	3.9	1.0	2.1	-0.4	1.9
2018 Q1	-0.6	-8.4	0.9	-0.8	-1.2	-1.6	3.6	1.2	-1.7	-0.4
Q2	0.6	3.1	1.7	0.2	-0.3	-5.4	-0.3	-2.8	-2.8	0.4

<sup>†</sup> indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised.

# 4 Output per hour worked: Manufacturing subsections

United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

	Food, beverages & tobacco	Textiles, wearing apparel & leather	Wood & paper products, & printing	Chemicals, Pharmaceuticals	Rubber, plastics & non-metallic minerals	Basic metals & metal products	Computer etc products, Electrical equipment	Machinery & equipment	Transport equipment	Coke & refined petroleum, Other manufacturing
Divisions	10-12	13-15	16-18	20-21	22-23	24-25	26-27	28	29-30	19,31-33
<b>Level (£)</b>										
2013	34.2	30.1	25.4	80.0	26.9	26.3	32.6	29.9	40.7	29.0
<b>Indices</b>										
	DJK9	DJL4	DJL7	DJM4	DJM7	DJN4	DJN7	DJO5	DJO8	DJP3
2014	104.5	100.3 <sup>†</sup>	98.9 <sup>†</sup>	93.2	94.7 <sup>†</sup>	98.9	96.9 <sup>†</sup>	110.6	100.0	104.1 <sup>†</sup>
2015	100.6 <sup>†</sup>	105.7	98.6	98.7 <sup>†</sup>	90.3	97.5	98.7	97.7 <sup>†</sup>	100.1	103.9
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	101.7	102.7	100.9	94.8	99.1	101.8 <sup>†</sup>	109.7	113.4	103.0 <sup>†</sup>	105.0
2014 Q3	105.2 <sup>†</sup>	95.6 <sup>†</sup>	99.4 <sup>†</sup>	92.4	98.9 <sup>†</sup>	99.1 <sup>†</sup>	98.7	110.4	99.7 <sup>†</sup>	104.2 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	105.6	92.3	99.3	95.2	90.2	99.8	99.5 <sup>†</sup>	110.4	100.3	105.7
2015 Q1	102.5	99.0	102.1	99.0 <sup>†</sup>	88.2	98.6	96.5	100.9 <sup>†</sup>	99.9	104.5
Q2	101.8	103.2	99.0	99.6	87.8	100.8	98.1	98.2	100.4	105.3
Q3	99.3	111.2	97.9	99.0	91.9	97.5	101.4	98.1	101.2	104.3
Q4	98.6	109.2	95.2	97.3	93.3	93.2	98.8	93.5	99.0	101.5
2016 Q1	98.9	105.4	95.3	99.9	97.6	99.9	97.6	97.1	98.9	99.1
Q2	97.8	99.5	99.8	102.4	100.1	100.7	100.1	95.3	103.2	98.0
Q3	102.4	98.0	103.6	96.7	97.6	100.2	99.1	99.8	98.5	100.9
Q4	100.8	97.0	101.3	101.1	104.7	99.2	103.3	107.7	99.4	101.9
2017 Q1	100.6	100.1	101.3	91.6	102.1	102.2	105.4	111.8	101.5	108.2
Q2	102.6	103.0	99.6	92.6	98.9	98.4	110.3	111.2	100.3	104.8
Q3	102.6	101.5	99.0	98.0	97.3	100.5	108.8	113.4	104.2	101.9
Q4	100.8	106.0	103.7	96.9	98.3	105.9	114.3	117.1	106.1	105.2
2018 Q1	100.8	99.9	100.4	99.1	99.6	98.5	114.8	118.3	103.9	105.8
Q2	98.3	100.0	107.2	103.3	106.0	94.5	111.5	111.9	101.1	108.5
<b>Per cent change on quarter a year ago</b>										
	DJL3	DJL6	DJM3	DJM6	DJM9	DJN6	DJN9	DJO7	DJP2	DJP5
2014 Q3	4.8	-9.3 <sup>†</sup>	0.6	5.0	11.4 <sup>†</sup>	5.0 <sup>†</sup>	11.3 <sup>†</sup>	7.2 <sup>†</sup>	-2.2	1.3 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	6.7 <sup>†</sup>	-11.9	0.5 <sup>†</sup>	4.6 <sup>†</sup>	1.2	0.8	6.0	5.4	-0.5 <sup>†</sup>	1.1
2015 Q1	-0.5	-6.7	4.4	6.0	-5.7	-1.1	4.0	-7.0	-1.1	-0.1
Q2	-2.2	-3.8	0.1	8.4	-8.8	4.1	1.3	-13.0	1.5	3.4
Q3	-5.7	16.4	-1.5	7.1	-7.1	-1.6	2.8	-11.1	1.5	0.1
Q4	-6.6	18.4	-4.2	2.2	3.5	-6.7	-0.7	-15.3	-1.3	-4.0
2016 Q1	-3.5	6.5	-6.7	0.9	10.7	1.3	1.1	-3.8	-1.0	-5.1
Q2	-4.0	-3.5	0.8	2.8	14.0	-0.1	2.0	-2.9	2.8	-7.0
Q3	3.2	-11.9	5.8	-2.3	6.2	2.8	-2.3	1.7	-2.7	-3.2
Q4	2.2	-11.2	6.5	3.9	12.2	6.5	4.5	15.2	0.4	0.4
2017 Q1	1.7	-5.1	6.3	-8.3	4.6	2.4	8.0	15.1	2.6	9.1
Q2	4.9	3.5	-0.1	-9.6	-1.2	-2.3	10.2	16.7	-2.8	6.9
Q3	0.2	3.6	-4.5	1.4	-0.4	0.3	9.8	13.6	5.7	0.9
Q4	-	9.3	2.3	-4.2	-6.0	6.8	10.6	8.7	6.7	3.2
2018 Q1	0.2	-0.2	-0.8	8.2	-2.5	-3.7	8.9	5.8	2.3	-2.2
Q2	-4.2	-3.0	7.5	11.6	7.2	-3.9	1.1	0.6	0.8	3.5
<b>Per cent change on previous quarter</b>										
	DJL2	DJL5	DJM2	DJM5	DJM8	DJN5	DJN8	DJO6	DJO9	DJP4
2014 Q3	1.0 <sup>†</sup>	-10.9 <sup>†</sup>	0.5	0.6 <sup>†</sup>	2.6 <sup>†</sup>	2.3 <sup>†</sup>	1.9	-2.1 <sup>†</sup>	0.8 <sup>†</sup>	2.2 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	0.3	-3.5	-0.1 <sup>†</sup>	3.1	-8.8	0.8	0.9	-	0.6	1.4
2015 Q1	-3.0	7.3	2.8	3.9	-2.2	-1.2	-3.0 <sup>†</sup>	-8.6	-0.3	-1.1
Q2	-0.6	4.2	-3.0	0.7	-0.4	2.3	1.7	-2.8	0.4	0.8
Q3	-2.5	7.8	-1.1	-0.7	4.6	-3.3	3.4	-	0.8	-1.0
Q4	-0.6	-1.8	-2.8	-1.7	1.6	-4.4	-2.6	-4.7	-2.2	-2.7
2016 Q1	0.3	-3.5	0.1	2.6	4.6	7.2	-1.3	3.9	-	-2.3
Q2	-1.1	-5.6	4.7	2.5	2.5	0.9	2.5	-1.9	4.3	-1.1
Q3	4.7	-1.5	3.8	-5.6	-2.5	-0.5	-1.0	4.7	-4.5	3.0
Q4	-1.6	-1.1	-2.2	4.6	7.2	-1.0	4.3	7.9	0.9	1.0
2017 Q1	-0.3	3.2	-0.1	-9.4	-2.4	3.0	2.1	3.8	2.1	6.1
Q2	2.0	2.9	-1.6	1.1	-3.2	-3.8	4.6	-0.6	-1.2	-3.1
Q3	-	-1.4	-0.7	5.9	-1.6	2.1	-1.3	2.0	3.9	-2.8
Q4	-1.8	4.4	4.7	-1.2	1.1	5.5	5.0	3.2	1.8	3.3
2018 Q1	-	-5.8	-3.1	2.3	1.3	-7.0	0.5	1.1	-2.0	0.6
Q2	-2.5	0.1	6.7	4.2	6.4	-4.0	-2.9	-5.5	-2.7	2.5

<sup>†</sup> indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised.

# 5 Output per job: Services sections

United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

Section	Wholesale & retail trade, motor vehicle repair	Transport & storage	Accommodation & food services	Information & communication	Finance & insurance	Real estate activities	Professional, scientific & technical activities	Admin & support services	Government services	Arts, entertainment & recreation	Other services
	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O-Q	R	S-U
<b>Level (£k)</b>											
2013	34.5	48.7	22.2	77.1	107.8	375.2	48.2	28.5	35.3	26.4	44.9
<b>Indices</b>											
	DJE6	DJE9	DJF4	DJF7	DJG5	DJH4	DJH7	DJI2	DJI5	DJJ3	DJJ6
2014	92.7	109.2	100.0	92.3	98.9	98.9	100.0	97.0	99.9	103.1	98.2
2015	95.3	106.4	101.9	95.4	97.0	99.0	101.3	99.3	100.1	100.7	101.7
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	102.5	99.6	100.9 <sup>†</sup>	103.7 <sup>†</sup>	101.1 <sup>†</sup>	98.6 <sup>†</sup>	103.1 <sup>†</sup>	102.0 <sup>†</sup>	100.4 <sup>†</sup>	100.7 <sup>†</sup>	98.9 <sup>†</sup>
2014 Q3	92.8	110.8	100.3	91.6	97.5 <sup>†</sup>	100.0	100.5 <sup>†</sup>	96.9	100.0	103.0	99.5
Q4	93.9	111.1	100.7	93.1	99.4	98.8	102.6	97.4	100.7	101.5	100.7
2015 Q1	94.1	109.9	101.5 <sup>†</sup>	93.8	98.4	97.6	100.8	98.6	99.5	99.6 <sup>†</sup>	100.7
Q2	95.5	107.4	101.9	95.2	96.3	97.6	102.2	99.3	100.1	100.1	100.7
Q3	95.5	105.2	101.6	95.9	95.8	99.9	101.0	100.3	100.3	100.8	102.0
Q4	96.1	103.2	102.3	96.5 <sup>†</sup>	97.5	100.9	101.1	99.1 <sup>†</sup>	100.4	102.3	103.5
2016 Q1	98.6	101.8	100.6	98.4	98.8	99.3	100.0	99.4	100.2	102.9	100.7 <sup>†</sup>
Q2	99.0 <sup>†</sup>	100.1	99.8	97.4	100.4	98.8	99.5	98.5	99.7	99.2	100.9
Q3	99.9	98.7	99.3	101.0	100.0	100.3	100.1	100.6	99.9	99.6	97.0
Q4	102.4	99.4	100.3	103.2	100.8	101.6	100.4	101.5	100.2	98.3	101.4
2017 Q1	101.8	101.3 <sup>†</sup>	100.4	102.0	102.5	97.1 <sup>†</sup>	101.9	102.2	100.7	99.8	100.3
Q2	101.9	98.6	100.2	103.2	101.4	100.3	102.3	101.7	100.1 <sup>†</sup>	102.4	100.1
Q3	103.2	98.5	100.8	104.5	100.8	99.2	103.3	101.8	100.6	100.2	98.8
Q4	103.0	100.2	102.0	105.3	99.5	97.7	105.0	102.1	100.1	100.4	96.5
2018 Q1	102.5	99.5	101.1	104.7	99.1	93.8	106.5	102.9	99.1	99.7	97.7
Q2	104.6	99.8	100.7	106.4	100.0	95.6	107.5	103.4	99.2	100.8	96.5
<b>Per cent change on quarter a year ago</b>											
	DJE8	DJF3	DJF6	DJF9	DJG8	DJH6	DJH9	DJI4	DJI7	DJJ5	DJJ8
2014 Q3	3.4	7.2	-0.9	-4.7	-3.3	3.4	0.3	2.3	1.6	-1.5 <sup>†</sup>	5.6
Q4	4.3	6.1	2.1 <sup>†</sup>	-1.6	-0.2	2.2	4.2	1.0	1.5	-3.7	8.2
2015 Q1	2.8 <sup>†</sup>	2.6	2.6	1.6	-1.1	0.1	2.7 <sup>†</sup>	1.6	0.1	-3.7	3.6
Q2	3.3	-0.2	2.0	3.2	-2.8	-1.8	3.5	2.7	0.8	-4.1	5.8
Q3	2.9	-5.1	1.2	4.7	-1.7	-0.1	0.5	3.5	0.3	-2.1	2.5
Q4	2.3	-7.1	1.6	3.7	-1.9	2.1	-1.5	1.7	-0.4	0.7	2.7
2016 Q1	4.8	-7.4	-0.9	4.9 <sup>†</sup>	0.4 <sup>†</sup>	1.7	-0.8	0.8	0.8	3.3	-0.1
Q2	3.7	-6.8	-2.1	2.2	4.2	1.3	-2.6	-0.8	-0.5	-0.9	0.3
Q3	4.7	-6.1	-2.2	5.3	4.3	0.3	-1.0	0.4	-0.4	-1.2	-4.9
Q4	6.6	-3.7	-2.0	6.9	3.4	0.7	-0.6	2.5	-0.1	-3.8	-2.0
2017 Q1	3.2	-0.5	-0.1	3.6	3.8	-2.2 <sup>†</sup>	1.9	2.8	0.4 <sup>†</sup>	-3.0	-0.3 <sup>†</sup>
Q2	2.9	-1.4	0.3	6.0	1.0	1.5	2.8	3.3 <sup>†</sup>	0.4	3.2	-0.9
Q3	3.3	-0.3	1.5	3.5	0.8	-1.0	3.2	1.2	0.7	0.6	1.8
Q4	0.6	0.8 <sup>†</sup>	1.8	2.0	-1.3	-3.9	4.6	0.6	-0.1	2.1	-4.8
2018 Q1	0.7	-1.7	0.7	2.7	-3.3	-3.4	4.5	0.7	-1.6	-0.1	-2.6
Q2	2.7	1.2	0.6	3.0	-1.4	-4.7	5.0	1.6	-0.9	-1.5	-3.5
<b>Per cent change on previous quarter</b>											
	DJE7	DJF2	DJF5	DJF8	DJG6	DJH5	DJH8	DJI3	DJI6	DJJ4	DJJ7
2014 Q3	0.4	2.9	0.4	-0.7	-1.6	0.7	1.8	0.1	0.6	-1.3	4.5
Q4	1.2	0.3	0.4	1.6	1.9 <sup>†</sup>	-1.2	2.1	0.5	0.7	-1.4	1.2
2015 Q1	0.2	-1.1	0.8	0.8 <sup>†</sup>	-0.9	-1.2	-1.8 <sup>†</sup>	1.2	-1.3	-1.9 <sup>†</sup>	- <sup>†</sup>
Q2	1.4 <sup>†</sup>	-2.3	0.4 <sup>†</sup>	1.5	-2.1	-	1.3	0.7 <sup>†</sup>	0.7	0.4	-
Q3	-	-2.1	-0.3	0.7	-0.5	2.4	-1.1	1.0	0.2	0.7	1.3
Q4	0.7	-1.9	0.7	0.7	1.7	1.0	-	-1.2	-	1.5	1.5
2016 Q1	2.6	-1.4	-1.7	2.0	1.3	-1.6	-1.1	0.3	-0.1	0.6	-2.8
Q2	0.4	-1.7	-0.7	-1.1	1.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.9	-0.6	-3.7	0.3
Q3	0.9	-1.3	-0.5	3.7	-0.4	1.5	0.6	2.2	0.2	0.4	-3.9
Q4	2.5	0.7	1.0	2.2	0.9	1.4	0.4	0.9	0.3	-1.2	4.5
2017 Q1	-0.6	1.9 <sup>†</sup>	0.2	-1.2	1.6	-4.5 <sup>†</sup>	1.5	0.7	0.5	1.5	-1.1
Q2	0.1	-2.6	-0.3	1.2	-1.0	3.3	0.4	-0.5	-0.6 <sup>†</sup>	2.5	-0.2
Q3	1.3	-0.2	0.6	1.2	-0.6	-1.1	1.0	0.1	0.5	-2.1	-1.3
Q4	-0.2	1.7	1.2	0.8	-1.3	-1.6	1.7	0.3	-0.5	0.3	-2.4
2018 Q1	-0.5	-0.7	-0.9	-0.6	-0.4	-3.9	1.4	0.8	-1.0	-0.8	1.3
Q2	2.1	0.3	-0.4	1.6	0.9	1.9	0.9	0.4	0.1	1.1	-1.2

<sup>†</sup> indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised.

# 6 Output per hour worked: Services sections

United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

	Wholesale & retail trade, motor vehicle repair	Transport & storage	Accommodation & food services	Information & communication	Finance & insurance	Real estate activities	Professional, scientific & technical activities	Admin & support services	Government services	Arts, entertainment & recreation	Other services
Section	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O-Q	R	S-U
<b>Level (£)</b>											
2013	22.8	26.6	16.3	42.0	60.3	244.6	27.4	18.3	24.5	20.5	30.0
<b>Indices</b>											
2014	DJQ4 91.7	DJQ7 108.4 <sup>†</sup>	DJR2 101.9 <sup>†</sup>	DJR5 93.1 <sup>†</sup>	DJS3 99.7 <sup>†</sup>	DJS6 101.9	DJS9 99.3 <sup>†</sup>	DJT7 101.6 <sup>†</sup>	DJU2 99.3 <sup>†</sup>	DJV6 101.6 <sup>†</sup>	DJV9 98.8 <sup>†</sup>
2015	95.5 <sup>†</sup>	105.6	101.8	97.6	99.2	100.6 <sup>†</sup>	100.2	100.7	99.6	99.0	102.6
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	101.5	100.3	100.9	103.6	103.2	100.2	104.6	102.2	99.9	96.0	96.7
2014 Q3	91.1	110.1 <sup>†</sup>	102.2 <sup>†</sup>	92.9 <sup>†</sup>	99.0 <sup>†</sup>	105.5	99.2 <sup>†</sup>	101.7 <sup>†</sup>	99.3 <sup>†</sup>	102.6 <sup>†</sup>	98.6 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	93.4 <sup>†</sup>	111.9	101.8	93.2	100.4	99.9 <sup>†</sup>	101.2	100.4	99.7	99.1	103.6
2015 Q1	93.9	109.4	101.4	95.7	100.9	98.9	99.5	101.4	99.4	96.2	100.4
Q2	95.4	106.8	101.5	97.0	98.8	98.1	101.4	101.3	99.9	98.8	103.2
Q3	96.6	104.9	101.4	99.1	98.9	100.5	100.5	101.8	100.0	100.9	103.2
Q4	95.9	101.1	102.8	98.7	98.4	105.1	99.2	98.4	99.1	99.9	103.7
2016 Q1	97.8	101.6	101.1	99.4	98.7	103.1	99.1	100.6	99.6	101.2	100.6
Q2	99.4	99.9	99.5	98.6	100.5	96.8	101.3	96.5	100.6	100.4	99.0
Q3	99.9	99.0	99.5	99.8	99.5	102.5	99.8	100.6	99.8	99.2	99.1
Q4	102.9	99.4	99.9	102.1	101.3	97.6	99.8	102.2	100.1	99.1	101.3
2017 Q1	101.2	100.8	100.2	99.9	104.4	97.9	102.2	101.6	99.8	96.9	99.3
Q2	101.3	99.5	99.7	101.2	103.3	100.9	102.6	101.9	99.2	98.8	98.2
Q3	102.3	99.9	100.9	105.3	102.9	101.4	106.2	102.1	100.3	92.3	96.3
Q4	101.4	100.9	102.6	108.1	102.0	100.6	107.4	103.3	100.4	96.1	93.1
2018 Q1	102.5	98.1	104.5	106.0	101.2	100.1	106.6	102.8	99.5	96.2	94.3
Q2	104.8	100.4	106.4	107.1	101.3	100.4	108.8	102.6	99.5	96.7	95.0
<b>Per cent change on quarter a year ago</b>											
2014 Q3	DJQ6 2.1 <sup>†</sup>	DJQ9 6.7 <sup>†</sup>	DJR4 0.7 <sup>†</sup>	DJR7 -3.7 <sup>†</sup>	DJS5 -2.2 <sup>†</sup>	DJS8 6.3 <sup>†</sup>	DJT6 -0.7 <sup>†</sup>	DJT9 4.4 <sup>†</sup>	DJU7 1.7 <sup>†</sup>	DJV8 -3.1 <sup>†</sup>	DJW3 1.8
Q4	3.7	7.5	1.9	-2.1	-0.9	-0.1	2.5	0.1	1.0	-6.0	12.8 <sup>†</sup>
2015 Q1	3.2	3.8	-	2.8	1.2	-1.3	0.5	-0.5	0.3	-7.5	4.7
Q2	4.5	0.5	-0.5	4.2	-1.0	-3.9	3.7	-1.0	0.9	-2.0	6.0
Q3	6.0	-4.7	-0.8	6.7	-0.1	-4.7	1.3	0.1	0.8	-1.6	4.6
Q4	2.7	-9.6	1.0	5.9	-2.1	5.2	-2.0	-2.0	-0.6	0.9	0.1
2016 Q1	4.1	-7.1	-0.4	3.9	-2.2	4.3	-0.4	-0.7	0.2	5.3	0.2
Q2	4.2	-6.5	-1.9	1.6	1.7	-1.2	-0.1	-4.7	0.7	1.6	-4.1
Q3	3.5	-5.6	-1.9	0.8	0.7	1.9	-0.7	-1.2	-0.3	-1.7	-4.0
Q4	7.3	-1.7	-2.8	3.5	3.0	-7.1	0.5	3.9	1.0	-0.8	-2.3
2017 Q1	3.5	-0.8	-0.9	0.4	5.8	-5.1	3.1	1.0	0.2	-4.3	-1.3
Q2	1.9	-0.4	0.2	2.6	2.8	4.2	1.3	5.6	-1.4	-1.6	-0.7
Q3	2.3	0.9	1.5	5.5	3.4	-1.1	6.4	1.4	0.6	-7.0	-2.8
Q4	-1.4	1.5	2.7	5.8	0.7	3.1	7.7	1.1	0.3	-3.0	-8.1
2018 Q1	1.4	-2.8	4.3	6.1	-3.1	2.3	4.3	1.2	-0.3	-0.7	-5.0
Q2	3.5	0.9	6.7	5.9	-1.9	-0.5	6.1	0.7	0.3	-2.1	-3.3
<b>Per cent change on previous quarter</b>											
2014 Q3	DJQ5 -0.2 <sup>†</sup>	DJQ8 3.5 <sup>†</sup>	DJR3 0.2 <sup>†</sup>	DJR6 -0.3 <sup>†</sup>	DJS4 -0.8	DJS7 3.4 <sup>†</sup>	DJT2 1.5 <sup>†</sup>	DJT8 -0.6 <sup>†</sup>	DJU6 0.2	DJV7 1.7 <sup>†</sup>	DJW2 1.3 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	2.5	1.6	-0.4	0.3	1.4	-5.3	2.1	-1.3	0.5 <sup>†</sup>	-3.4	5.1
2015 Q1	0.6	-2.2	-0.4	2.7	0.5 <sup>†</sup>	-1.0	-1.7	0.9	-0.4	-2.9	-3.2
Q2	1.6	-2.3	-	1.3	-2.1	-0.8	1.9	-0.1	0.6	2.8	2.8
Q3	1.2	-1.8	-	2.1	0.1	2.5	-0.9	0.5	0.1	2.1	-
Q4	-0.7	-3.6	1.3	-0.4	-0.5	4.5	-1.2	-3.4	-0.9	-1.0	0.5
2016 Q1	1.9	0.5	-1.7	0.8	0.4	-1.9	-0.1	2.3	0.5	1.3	-3.0
Q2	1.7	-1.7	-1.5	-0.8	1.8	-6.1	2.2	-4.1	1.0	-0.8	-1.6
Q3	0.5	-0.9	-0.1	1.3	-1.0	5.8	-1.5	4.2	-0.8	-1.2	0.1
Q4	3.0	0.4	0.5	2.3	1.8	-4.8	-	1.6	0.3	-0.1	2.3
2017 Q1	-1.7	1.4	0.2	-2.2	3.1	0.3	2.5	-0.6	-0.2	-2.2	-2.0
Q2	0.1	-1.3	-0.5	1.3	-1.1	3.0	0.4	0.3	-0.6	2.0	-1.1
Q3	1.0	0.4	1.2	4.1	-0.3	0.5	3.5	0.2	1.2	-6.6	-2.0
Q4	-0.8	1.0	1.7	2.7	-0.9	-0.8	1.1	1.2	0.1	4.1	-3.4
2018 Q1	1.1	-2.9	1.8	-2.0	-0.8	-0.5	-0.8	-0.5	-0.9	0.2	1.3
Q2	2.3	2.4	1.8	1.1	0.1	0.3	2.1	-0.2	-	0.5	0.7

<sup>†</sup> indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised.

# 7 Market sector productivity

## United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

	Output per worker			Output per hour worked		
	Index	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter	Index	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter
	GY04	GY05	GY06	GY07	GY08	GY09
2014	98.9	..	..	98.6	..	..
2015	99.4	..	..	99.2	..	..
2016	100.0	..	..	100.0	..	..
2017	102.1 <sup>†</sup>	..	..	102.1 <sup>†</sup>	..	..
2014 Q3	99.0	0.9	0.3	98.8	0.9	0.4 <sup>†</sup>
Q4	99.6 <sup>†</sup>	1.6	0.5	98.9	0.9	0.1
2015 Q1	99.1	0.9	-0.4	99.1	0.9	0.2
Q2	100.0	1.3	0.9	99.6	1.3	0.6
Q3	99.3	0.3	-0.7	99.7	0.9	0.1
Q4	99.0	-0.5	-0.3	98.5	-0.4	-1.2
2016 Q1	99.4	0.3	0.3	99.3	0.2	0.8
Q2	99.5	-0.4	0.2	99.7 <sup>†</sup>	-	0.4
Q3	100.0	0.7	0.5	100.1	0.4	0.4
Q4	101.0	2.0	1.0	101.0	2.5	0.9
2017 Q1	101.8	2.4 <sup>†</sup>	0.8 <sup>†</sup>	101.3	2.0 <sup>†</sup>	0.3
Q2	101.8	2.2	-	101.3	1.7	0.1
Q3	102.3	2.2	0.5	102.4	2.3	1.0
Q4	102.4	1.4	0.2	103.4	2.4	0.9
2018 Q1	101.9	0.1	-0.6	102.7	1.4	-0.6
Q2	102.3	0.5	0.4	103.2	1.9	0.5

<sup>†</sup>indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised

# 8 Output per job and hour worked: Other industries<sup>1</sup>

United Kingdom

(2016=100)

Section	Agriculture, forestry and fishing		Construction	
	Output per job	Output per hour worked	Output per job	Output per hour worked
	A	A	F	F
<b>Level (£)</b>				
2013	31 200	14.2	46 300	24.0
<b>Indices</b>				
	DJ4K	DJJ9	DJD8	DJP6
2001	101.0	102.6 <sup>†</sup>	91.4	89.7 <sup>†</sup>
2002	116.3	119.6	94.9	94.1
2003	111.7	112.2	97.1	97.5
2004	106.6	105.5	99.7	100.5
2005	108.0	112.6	94.5	95.8
2006	103.1	106.1	94.0	95.3
2007	100.3	105.3	93.1	94.6
2008	103.4	108.2	90.3	92.7
2009	96.0	91.4	81.5	84.4
2010	89.7	84.9	93.4	95.7
2011	99.0	96.9	95.8	99.9
2012	92.1	94.4	89.9	93.3
2013	101.0	100.8	91.1	92.4
2014	98.2	98.1	96.3	95.3
2015	108.0	111.5	98.5	98.4
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	95.0	95.2	104.0 <sup>†</sup>	104.1
<b>Per cent change on previous year</b>				
	DJ4L	DJK2	DJE2	DJP8
2001	2.6	4.0 <sup>†</sup>	-0.2	0.3 <sup>†</sup>
2002	15.1	16.6	3.9	4.9
2003	-4.0	-6.2	2.3	3.6
2004	-4.5	-6.0	2.7	3.0
2005	1.3	6.8	-5.3	-4.6
2006	-4.6	-5.8	-0.5	-0.5
2007	-2.7	-0.8	-1.0	-0.7
2008	3.1	2.7	-3.1	-2.0
2009	-7.1	-15.6	-9.7	-9.0
2010	-6.6	-7.1	14.6	13.3
2011	10.3	14.2	2.5	4.4
2012	-7.0	-2.6	-6.1	-6.6
2013	9.7	6.8	1.4	-0.9
2014	-2.8	-2.7	5.7	3.1
2015	10.0	13.6	2.3	3.3
2016	-7.4	-10.3	1.5	1.6
2017	-5.0	-4.8	4.0 <sup>†</sup>	4.1

<sup>1</sup> Productivity figures for industry F are experimental

<sup>†</sup> indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised

# 9 Productivity measures by region

(UK=100)

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
United Kingdom		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<b>Nominal GVA per filled job</b>								
North East	DJDO	84.8	86.2	86.3	85.6	87.1	86.6	88.1
North West	DJDP	91.9	90.9	91.3	90.7	89.4	90.6	91.0
Yorkshire and The Humber	DMBC	86.6	86.9	86.6	86.5	85.2	85.4	84.8
East Midlands	DMBE	86.9	85.8	86.4	87.5	87.9	86.7	86.6
West Midlands	DMDN	87.4	88.0	87.7	87.7	88.9	88.9	89.4
East of England	DMDQ	97.7	97.1	95.9	96.1	95.9	96.2	95.7
London	DMGH	140.9	141.9	139.5	138.2	139.4	137.8	137.7
South East	DMGJ	106.8	105.7	106.2	106.7	105.6	106.6	104.4
South West	DMGK	89.0	87.4	88.5	88.0	88.1	87.6	88.9
England	DMGL	101.9	101.7	101.6	101.6	101.7	101.6	101.4
Wales	DMGM	79.2	81.6	81.5	82.3	79.7	80.6	81.3
Scotland	DMGX	96.6	96.4	95.8	96.9	97.3	97.4	98.3
Northern Ireland	DMOA	84.2	86.5	89.7	87.5	87.0	88.0	87.8
<b>Nominal GVA per hour worked</b>								
North East	DMOB	86.4	88.8 <sup>†</sup>	89.1	88.3	88.9	89.0	90.5
North West	DMOH	92.4	92.5	92.3	92.4	89.5	90.5	91.9 <sup>†</sup>
Yorkshire and The Humber	DMOK	87.9	87.7	87.6	87.7	86.2	87.3	87.4
East Midlands	DMOL	86.4	86.6	87.3	88.5	89.3 <sup>†</sup>	86.0	87.0
West Midlands	DMON	86.6	88.3	87.5	87.5	88.4	86.9	88.6
East of England	DMOO	98.9	98.4	97.2	96.9	97.9	97.8	96.5
London	DMOR	131.9	132.2	130.6	129.9	131.4	130.4 <sup>†</sup>	129.2
South East	DMOS	109.8	107.6	107.2	108.2	106.7 <sup>†</sup>	108.8	105.6
South West	DMOT	92.2 <sup>†</sup>	90.0	91.4	90.8	91.4	91.0	92.6
England	DMOV	101.8 <sup>†</sup>	101.6	101.4	101.5	101.5	101.4	101.3
Wales	DMOW	81.5	82.4	84.1	84.2	82.5	82.1	83.4
Scotland	DMOY	97.7	97.4	97.7	97.9	98.9	99.5	99.8
Northern Ireland	DMWA	81.5	84.0	86.4	83.0	82.3	85.3	85.1

<sup>†</sup>Indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised.

# 10 Labour input indices: Workers, productivity jobs and productivity hours

United Kingdom

Seasonally adjusted (2016=100)

Section	Whole economy				Production		Manufacturing		Services	
	Workers	Jobs	Hours	Ratio of jobs to workers	Productivity jobs	Productivity hours	Productivity jobs	Productivity hours	Productivity jobs	Productivity hours
	A-U	A-U	A-U	A-U	B-E	B-E	C	C	G-U	G-U
<b>Indices</b>	TXEL	LNNM	LZVA	TXET	DJW6	DK3S	DJW9	DK3V	DK2G	DK56
2014	96.9	97.3	97.2	100.3	99.0	99.7 <sup>†</sup>	99.1	99.6 <sup>†</sup>	97.1	96.7
2015	98.6	98.8	98.6	100.2	100.2	100.7	100.1	100.7	98.8	98.5
2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2017	101.0	101.0	101.2	99.9	100.8	100.2	101.0	100.1	100.8	100.9
2014 Q3	97.2	97.5	97.5	100.3	99.5	99.6 <sup>†</sup>	99.8	99.5 <sup>†</sup>	97.3	97.1
Q4	97.5	97.8	97.9	100.3	99.7 <sup>†</sup>	100.3	99.9	100.1	97.6	97.5
2015 Q1	98.2	98.6	98.2	100.3	100.7	100.8	100.7 <sup>†</sup>	101.0	98.3	98.0
Q2	98.1	98.3	98.1	100.3	100.2	100.8	100.1	100.3	98.5 <sup>†</sup>	98.1 <sup>†</sup>
Q3	98.7	98.9	98.3	100.1	100.3	99.8	100.0	99.7	98.9	98.3
Q4	99.4	99.4	100.0	100.1	99.4	101.4	99.6	101.7	99.3	99.8
2016 Q1	99.5	99.5	99.6	100.0	99.6	100.3	99.4	100.4	99.4	99.6
Q2	100.0	100.0	99.9	100.0	100.4	100.3	100.6	100.5	100.0	99.7
Q3	100.2	100.2	100.2	100.1	100.1	99.7	100.2	99.7	100.3	100.4
Q4	100.3	100.3	100.4	100.0	99.9	99.7	99.8	99.4	100.2	100.3
2017 Q1	100.7	100.6	101.2	99.9	99.5	99.6	99.6	99.4	100.4	101.0
Q2	101.1	101.0	101.6	99.9	100.4	100.5	100.6	100.3	100.8	101.3
Q3	101.0	101.0	101.1	99.9	101.4	100.8	101.9	100.8	100.7	100.7
Q4	101.3	101.3	100.8	100.0	101.7	100.1	102.0	99.8	101.1	100.8
2018 Q1	101.9	101.9	101.4	100.0	102.3	101.2	102.5	101.0	101.7	101.4
Q2	102.1	102.0	101.4	99.9	102.4	101.0	102.5	100.3	101.8	101.1
<b>Per cent change on quarter a year ago</b>	DIW9	LNN0	LZVC		DJW8	DK3U	DJX3	DK44	DK2I	DK58
2014 Q3	2.4	2.5	2.4		0.6	-1.2 <sup>†</sup>	0.8	-0.7 <sup>†</sup>	2.5 <sup>†</sup>	2.5
Q4	2.2	2.2	2.8		0.6	0.6	1.1	0.9	2.2	2.7
2015 Q1	2.1	2.1	2.2		2.5	1.3	2.6 <sup>†</sup>	1.8	2.2	2.6 <sup>†</sup>
Q2	1.3	1.2	1.1		1.8	1.1	1.4	0.6	1.5	1.6
Q3	1.6	1.4	0.8		0.8 <sup>†</sup>	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.6	1.2
Q4	1.9	1.6	2.1		-0.2	1.1	-0.3	1.6	1.7	2.4
2016 Q1	1.3	0.9	1.4		-1.1	-0.4	-1.3	-0.6	1.1	1.6
Q2	2.0	1.7	1.8		0.2	-0.5	0.6	0.2	1.6	1.7
Q3	1.5	1.4	2.0 <sup>†</sup>		-0.2	-0.1	0.2	-	1.4	2.1
Q4	0.9	0.8	0.4		0.4	-1.7	0.1	-2.3	0.9	0.5
2017 Q1	1.2	1.1	1.6		-0.1	-0.7	0.2	-1.0	1.0	1.4
Q2	1.1	1.0	1.7		-	0.2	-	-0.2	0.8	1.6
Q3	0.9	0.8	0.9		1.3	1.1	1.7	1.0	0.4	0.4
Q4	1.0	1.0	0.4		1.9	0.4	2.3	0.4	0.8	0.4
2018 Q1	1.2	1.3	0.3		2.8	1.6	2.9	1.6	1.3	0.4
Q2	1.0	0.9	-0.2		2.0	0.5	1.8	-	0.9	-0.2
<b>Per cent change on previous quarter</b>	DIW8	TXAJ	TXBU		DJW7	DK3T	DJX2	DK3Y	DK2H	DK57
2014 Q3	0.4	0.4	0.4		1.1 <sup>†</sup>	- <sup>†</sup>	1.1	-0.2 <sup>†</sup>	0.3	0.5
Q4	0.4	0.3	0.5		0.1	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4
2015 Q1	0.7	0.8	0.3		1.0	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5
Q2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1		-0.4	-	-0.7	-0.7	0.1	0.1
Q3	0.7	0.5	0.2		-	-1.0	-0.1	-0.6	0.5	0.2
Q4	0.7	0.6	1.7		-0.8	1.6	-0.3	2.0	0.4	1.6
2016 Q1	0.1	-	-0.4		0.2	-1.0	-0.2	-1.3	0.1	-0.3
Q2	0.5	0.5	0.3		0.9	-	1.2	0.1	0.6	0.2 <sup>†</sup>
Q3	0.1	0.2	0.3		-0.4	-0.6	-0.4 <sup>†</sup>	-0.8	0.3	0.6
Q4	0.1	0.1	0.2		-0.2	-	-0.4	-0.4	-0.1	-
2017 Q1	0.4	0.3	0.8		-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-	0.2	0.6
Q2	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.9	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.4
Q3	-	-	-0.5		0.9	0.2	1.2	0.5	-0.1	-0.6
Q4	0.3	0.3	-0.3		0.4	-0.7	0.2	-1.0	0.3 <sup>†</sup>	0.1
2018 Q1	0.6	0.6	0.6		0.6	1.2	0.4	1.2	0.6	0.6
Q2	0.1	0.1	-0.1		0.1	-0.2	-	-0.7	0.1	-0.3

<sup>†</sup>indicates that estimates are new or have been revised. The period marked is the earliest in the table to have been revised



# R1 REVISIONS ANALYSIS

## Revisions since previously published estimates

Whole economy								
	Output per worker		Output per job		Output per hour worked		Unit labour costs	
	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter
	A4YN	A4YO	LNNP	DMWR	LZVD	TXBB	DMWN	DMWO
2014 Q1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2015 Q1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q3	-	-	-	-	-0.1	-	-	-
Q4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2016 Q1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2017 Q1	-	-	0.1	0.1	-	-	-	-
Q2	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	-	0.1	0.1
Q3	0.1	-	-	-	0.1	-	-0.1	-0.2
Q4	0.1	-	0.1	-	0.1	0.1	-0.3	-0.2
2018 Q1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.4	-0.1

Manufacturing						
	Output per job		Output per hour worked		Unit wage costs	
	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter
	DJ4R	DJ4Q	DJK8	DJK7	DJ4J	DJ4I
2014 Q1	-	-	-0.2	0.2	-0.1	-
Q2	-	-	-	0.1	-	-
Q3	-	-	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1
Q4	-	-	0.4	-0.1	-	-
2015 Q1	-	0.1	0.3	-	-	-0.1
Q2	-	-	0.2	-	-	0.1
Q3	-	-	-0.1	-0.2	-	-
Q4	-	0.1	-	0.1	-	-
2016 Q1	-	-	-0.1	-0.1	-	-
Q2	-	-0.1	-0.3	-0.2	-	-
Q3	-	-	-0.2	-	-	-
Q4	-	0.1	-0.1	0.2	-	-
2017 Q1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	-0.2	-0.2
Q2	0.2	-	0.4	-	-0.3	-
Q3	0.1	-0.2	0.2	-0.3	-	0.3
Q4	-	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
2018 Q1	-0.1	-	-	0.4	0.2	-

Services				
	Output per job		Output per hour worked	
	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter	Per cent change on quarter a year ago	Per cent change on previous quarter
	DJE5	DJE4	DJQ3	DJQ2
2014 Q1	-	-	-	-
Q2	-	-	-	-0.1
Q3	-	-	-	-
Q4	-	-	-	-
2015 Q1	-	-	-0.1	-0.1
Q2	-	-	-	-
Q3	-	-	0.1	-
Q4	-	-	-	-
2016 Q1	-	0.1	-	-
Q2	-	-0.1	-	-
Q3	-	-	-	0.1
Q4	-	-	-	-0.1
2017 Q1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
Q2	0.2	-	0.2	-
Q3	0.2	-	0.1	-
Q4	0.2	-	0.2	-
2018 Q1	0.1	0.1	-	-0.1